

Local Hardy spaces of Musielak-Orlicz type and their applications

YANG DaChun* & YANG SiBei

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China**Email: dcyang@bnu.edu.cn, yangsibei@mail.bnu.edu.cn*

Received January 11, 2012; accepted February 2, 2012; published online February 12, 2012

Abstract Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ is an Orlicz function and $\varphi(\cdot, t) \in A_{\infty}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the class of local weights introduced by V. S. Rychkov). In this paper, the authors introduce a local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space $h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the local grand maximal function, and a local BMO-type space $\text{bmo}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is further proved to be the dual space of $h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As an application, the authors prove that the class of pointwise multipliers for the local BMO-type space $\text{bmo}^{\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, characterized by E. Nakai and K. Yabuta, is just the dual of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + h_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where ϕ is an increasing function on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying some additional growth conditions and Φ_0 a Musielak-Orlicz function induced by ϕ . Characterizations of $h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, including the atoms, the local vertical and the local nontangential maximal functions, are presented. Using the atomic characterization, the authors prove the existence of finite atomic decompositions achieving the norm in some dense subspaces of $h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, from which, the authors further deduce some criterions for the boundedness on $h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of some sublinear operators. Finally, the authors show that the local Riesz transforms and some pseudo-differential operators are bounded on $h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Keywords local weight, Musielak-Orlicz function, local Hardy space, atom, local maximal function, local BMO space, dual space, pointwise multiplier, local Riesz transform, pseudo-differential operator

MSC(2010) 42B35, 46E30, 42B30, 42B25, 42B20, 35S05, 47G30, 47B06

Citation: Yang D C, Yang S B. Local Hardy spaces of Musielak-Orlicz type and their applications. *Sci China Math*, 2012, 55, doi: 10.1007/s11425-000-0000-0

1 Introduction

It is well known that the theory of the classical local Hardy spaces $h^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in (0, 1]$, originally introduced by Goldberg [20], plays an important role in partial differential equations and harmonic analysis (see, for example, [11, 20, 51, 60–62] and their references). In particular, pseudo-differential operators are bounded on $h^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in (0, 1]$, but they are not bounded on Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in (0, 1]$ (see [20] and also [61, 62]). Moreover, it was proved by Goldberg [20] that $h^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in (0, 1]$ is closed under composition with diffeomorphisms and under multiplication by smooth functions with compact support; while $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in (0, 1]$ is not.

*Corresponding author

It is also well known that the classical BMO space (the *space of functions with bounded mean oscillation*) originally introduced by John and Nirenberg [33] and the classical Morrey space originally introduced by Morrey [42] play an important role in the study of partial differential equations and harmonic analysis (see, for example, [13, 16, 17, 44]). In particular, Fefferman and Stein [17] proved that $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the dual space of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Also, Goldberg [20] introduced the local variant, $\text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, of $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and proved that $\text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the dual space of $h^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In [11], Bui studied the weighted version, $h_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, of $h^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\omega \in A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, here and in what follows, $A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the *class of Muckenhoupt's weights* (see, for example, [18, 19, 21] for their definitions and properties). Rychkov [51] introduced and studied a *class of local weights*, denoted by $A_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see also Definition 2.2 below), and the weighted Besov-Lipschitz spaces and the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with weights belonging to $A_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which contains $A_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ weights and the exponential weights introduced by Schott [52] as special cases. In particular, Rychkov [51] generalized some of the theory of weighted local Hardy spaces developed by Bui [11] to $A_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ weights. Very recently, Tang [58] established the weighted atomic characterization of $h_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\omega \in A_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via the local grand maximal function. Tang [58] also established some criterions for the boundedness of $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear operators on $h_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Section 6 of this paper for the notion of $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear operators, which was first introduced in [65]). As applications, Tang [58, 59] proved that some strongly singular integrals, pseudo-differential operators and their commutators are bounded on $h_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is worth pointing out that in recent years, many papers focused on criterions for the boundedness of (sub)linear operators on various Hardy spaces with different underlying spaces (see, for example, [9, 10, 22, 23, 37, 40, 41, 49, 58, 65, 66]), and on the entropy and approximation numbers of embeddings of function spaces with Muckenhoupt weight (see, for example, [24–27]). Moreover, let L be a linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which generates an analytic semigroup $\{e^{-tL}\}_{t \geq 0}$ with kernels satisfying an upper bound of Poisson type; the local Hardy space $h_L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ associated with L and its dual space were studied in [31].

On the other hand, as the generalization of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Orlicz space was introduced by Birnbaum-Orlicz in [3] and Orlicz in [46]. Since then, the theory of the Orlicz spaces themselves has been well developed and these spaces have been widely used in probability, statistics, potential theory, partial differential equations, as well as harmonic analysis and some other fields of analysis (see, for example, [2, 28, 39, 47, 48]). Moreover, Orlicz-Hardy spaces are also suitable substitutes of the Orlicz spaces in dealing with many problems of analysis (see, for example, [29, 30, 55, 63]). Recall that Orlicz-Hardy spaces and their dual spaces were studied by Strömberg [55] and Janson [29] on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Viviani [63] on spaces of homogeneous type in the sense of Coifman and Weiss [12]. The weighted local Orlicz-Hardy space $h_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\omega \in A_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Φ being an Orlicz function of critical lower type index $p_\Phi^- \in (0, 1]$ (see Section 2 below for the notions of Orlicz functions and p_Φ^-), via the local grand maximal function was introduced in [64]. Let $\rho(t) := t^{-1}/\Phi^{-1}(t^{-1})$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$, where Φ^{-1} denotes the *inverse function* of Φ . The BMO-type space $\text{bmo}_{\rho, \omega}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was also introduced in [64], which was proved to be the dual space of $h_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ therein. Characterizations of $h_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, including the atoms, the local vertical and the local nontangential maximal functions, were presented in [64]. Some criterions for the boundedness of $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear operators on $h_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ were also given in [64]. As applications, it was showed, in [64], that the local Riesz transforms and some pseudo-differential operators are bounded on $h_\omega^\Phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with some differential operators and their dual spaces were introduced and studied in [30, 32, 38].

Let ϕ be a positive increasing function on $(0, \infty)$, and $\text{BMO}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ two classes of BMO-type spaces introduced by Nakai and Yabuta [45] (see also Definition 7.7 below). Assume further that $\phi(r)/r$ is *almost decreasing*, namely, there exists a positive constant C such that $\phi(t)/t \leq C\phi(s)/s$ for all $t, s \in (0, \infty)$ with $t \geq s$. Nakai and Yabuta [45] proved that a function g on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a pointwise multiplier on $\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $g \in \text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where

$$\psi(r) := \phi(r) \left\{ \int_{\min(1, r)}^2 \frac{\phi(t)}{t} dt \right\}^{-1}$$

for all $r \in (0, \infty)$ (see [45, Theorem 3] or Proposition 7.8 below).

Recently, Ky [35] introduced a *new Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space*, $H^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, via the grand maximal function, which generalizes both the Orlicz-Hardy space of Strömberg [55] and Janson [29] and the weighted Hardy space $H_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ studied by García-Cuerva [19] and Strömberg and Torchinsky [56]. Here, $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function such that $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ is an Orlicz function and $\varphi(\cdot, t)$ is a uniform Muckenhoupt weight. In particular, when $\varphi(x, t) := \Phi(t)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, $H^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is just the classical Orlicz-Hardy space, where Φ is an Orlicz function with upper type 1 and lower type $p \in (0, 1]$. In [35], Ky established the atomic characterization of $H^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$; as an application, Ky also gave some criterions for the boundedness of $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear operators on $H^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Ky [35] further introduced the BMO-type space $\text{BMO}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which was proved to be the dual space of $H^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$; as an interesting application, Ky proved that the class of pointwise multipliers for $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, characterized by Nakai and Yabuta [45], is the dual space of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + H^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $H^{\log}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space related to the Musielak-Orlicz function

$$\varphi(x, t) := \frac{t}{\log(e + |x|) + \log(e + t)}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$. Musielak-Orlicz functions are the natural generalization of Orlicz functions that may vary in the spatial variables (see, for example, [14, 15, 35, 43]). Recall that the motivation to study function spaces of Musielak-Orlicz type comes from the applications to elasticity, fluid dynamics, image processing, nonlinear partial differential equations and the calculus of variation (see, for example, [5–7, 14, 15, 35, 36]). It is worth noticing that some special Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces appear naturally in the study of the products of functions in $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [6, 7]), and the endpoint estimates for the div-curl lemma (see [4, 6]).

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a *growth function*, namely, $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ is an Orlicz function of upper type 1 and lower type $p \in (0, 1]$, and $\varphi(\cdot, t)$ belongs to $\mathbb{A}_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Definition 2.5 below). Motivated by [35, 51, 64], in this paper, we introduce a new local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space, $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, via the local grand maximal function and the local BMO-type space, $\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is further proved to be the dual space of $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As an interesting application, we show that the class of pointwise multipliers for $\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, characterized by Nakai and Yabuta in [45], is the dual of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + h_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where ϕ is an increasing function on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying some additional growth conditions (see Theorem 7.9 and Remark 7.10 below), $\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the local BMO-type space introduced by Nakai and Yabuta in [45], and Φ_0 is a Musielak-Orlicz function induced by ϕ . Characterizations of $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, including the atoms, the local vertical and the local nontangential maximal functions, are presented. Using the atomic characterization, we prove the existence of finite atomic decompositions achieving the norm in some dense subspaces of $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, from which we further deduce that for a given admissible triplet (φ, q, s) and a β -quasi-Banach space $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ with $\beta \in (0, 1]$, if T is a $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear operator, then T uniquely extends to a bounded $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear operator from $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ if and only if T maps all (φ, q, s) -atoms and (φ, q) -single-atoms with $q < \infty$ (or all continuous (φ, q, s) -atoms with $q = \infty$) into uniformly bounded elements of $\mathcal{B}_\beta$. Finally, we show that the local Riesz transforms and some pseudo-differential operators are bounded on $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We point out that the local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ includes the classical local Hardy space in [20], the weighted local Hardy space with Muckenhoupt weight in [11] and with local Rychkov weight in [58] and the weighted local Orlicz-Hardy space in [64] as special cases.

Precisely, this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some notions concerning Musielak-Orlicz functions, some examples, and some properties established in [35] for growth functions.

In Section 3, we first introduce the local grand maximal function, the local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space, $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and then the atomic local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space, $h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for any admissible triplet (φ, q, s) (see Definitions 3.3 and 3.5 below). We point out that when

$$\varphi(x, t) := \omega(x)\Phi(t) \tag{1.1}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, the local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space, $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is just the weighted

local Hardy space $h_{\omega, N}^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ introduced in [64]. Here, $\omega \in A_{\infty}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Φ is an Orlicz function of upper type 1 and lower type $p \in (0, 1]$. Next, we establish the local vertical and the local nontangential maximal function characterizations of $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via a local Calderón reproducing formula and some useful estimates established by Rychkov [51], which generalizes [64, Theorem 3.14] by taking φ as in (1.1) (see Theorems 3.13 and 3.14 and Remark 3.15 below). Finally, we present some properties of these spaces in Propositions 3.17 and 3.18 below.

Throughout the whole paper, as usual, $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of all $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with compact support, endowed with the inductive limit topology, and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ its topological dual space, endowed with the weak-* topology. In Section 4, for any given $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, integer $s \geq \lfloor n[q(\varphi)/i(\varphi) - 1] \rfloor$ and $\lambda > \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}_{N, \tilde{R}}(f)(x)$, where $q(\varphi)$, $i(\varphi)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{N, \tilde{R}}(f)$ are respectively as in (2.9), (2.3) and (3.2) below, $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the maximal integer not more than α and $\tilde{R} = 2^{3(10+n)}$, following [8, 10, 54, 58, 64], via a Whitney decomposition of Ω_{λ} in (4.1), we obtain the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition $f = g + \sum_i b_i$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of degree s and height λ associated with the local grand maximal function $\mathcal{G}_{N, \tilde{R}}(f)$. The main task of Section 4 is to recall some subtle estimates for g and $\{b_i\}_i$, mainly from [58]. Precisely, Lemmas 4.2 through 4.5 are estimates on $\{b_i\}_i$, the bad part of f , while Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 on g , the good part of f . As an application of these estimates, we obtain the density of $L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ (see Corollary 4.8 below).

In Section 5, we prove that for any admissible triplet (φ, q, s) , $h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) = h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent quasi-norms when positive integer $N \geq N_{\varphi}$ (see (3.20) below for the definition of N_{φ}), by using the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition and some subtle estimates presented in Section 4, which completely covers [64, Theorem 5.6] by taking φ as in (1.1) (see Theorem 5.7 and Remark 5.8 below). For simplicity, in the remainder of this introduction, we denote by $h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space, $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with positive integer $N \geq N_{\varphi}$.

Assume that (φ, q, s) is an admissible triplet and φ satisfies the uniformly locally q -dominated convergence condition when $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ and the uniformly locally q_0 -dominated convergence condition with some $q_0 \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ when $q = \infty$ (see Definition 6.2 below). Let $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of all finite linear combinations of (φ, q, s) -atoms or (φ, q) -single-atoms (see Definition 6.1 below), and $h_{\text{fin}, c}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of all $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support. In Section 6, we prove that $\|\cdot\|_{h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ are equivalent quasi-norms on $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $q < \infty$ and on $h_{\text{fin}, c}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $q = \infty$ (see Theorem 6.3 below). As an application, we prove that for a given admissible triplet (φ, q, s) and a β -quasi-Banach space $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}$ with $\beta \in (0, 1]$, if T is a $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}$ -sublinear operator from $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}$ when $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ or from $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}$ when $q = \infty$, and φ is of uniformly upper type $\tilde{p} \in (0, \beta]$, then T uniquely extends to a bounded $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}$ -sublinear operator from $h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}$ if and only if T maps all (φ, q, s) -atoms and (φ, q) -single-atoms with $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ (or all continuous (φ, q, s) -atoms with $q = \infty$) into uniformly bounded elements of $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}$ (see Theorem 6.5 below). We remark that this extends both the results of Meda-Sjögren-Vallarino [40, 41] and Yang-Zhou [66] to the setting of local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces. We also point out that Theorem 6.3 and Theorem 6.5 below completely cover [64, Theorem 6.2] and [64, Theorem 6.4], respectively, by taking φ as in (1.1) (see Remark 6.6 below).

In Subsection 7.1, we introduce the BMO-type space $\text{bmo}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is proved to be the dual space of $h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 7.5 below). Combining Theorems 5.7 with 7.5, we see that $[h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^* = \text{bmo}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Corollary 7.6 below). Assume that $\phi \equiv 1$ or ϕ is an increasing function on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying the fact that $\phi(r)/r$ is almost decreasing and ϕ is of lower type $p_0 \in (0, 1]$ (more generally, ϕ satisfies (7.10) below). As an application of Corollary 7.6, in Subsection 7.2, we show that the class of pointwise multipliers for $\text{bmo}^{\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ characterized by Nakai and Yabuta [45] is the dual of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + h_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 7.9 below). Recall that when $\phi \equiv 1$, $\text{bmo}^{\phi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is just $\text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ introduced by Goldberg in [20].

In Subsection 8.1, we first prove that the local Riesz transforms are bounded on $h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$, which completely covers [64, Theorem 8.2] by taking φ as in (1.1), where Φ is further assumed to satisfy the fact that $p_{\Phi} = p_{\Phi}^+$ and p_{Φ}^+ is the attainable critical upper type index of Φ

(see Section 2 below for the definitions of p_Φ and p_Φ^+), which is now proved to be superfluous in Theorem 8.2 below (see also Remark 8.4 below). Finally, let $\varphi(\cdot, t)$ be a weight having better properties than $\mathbb{A}_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and T an $S_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ pseudo-differential operator. We prove that T is bounded on $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which completely covers [64, Theorem 8.18] (see Theorem 8.9 and also Remark 8.11 below).

Finally, we make some conventions on some notation. Throughout the whole paper, we denote by C a *positive constant* which is independent of the main parameters, but it may vary from line to line. We also use $C(\gamma, \beta, \dots)$ to denote a *positive constant depending on the indicated parameters* $\gamma, \beta, \dots$. The *symbol* $A \lesssim B$ means that $A \leq CB$. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, then we write $A \sim B$. The *symbol* $\lfloor s \rfloor$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the maximal integer not more than s . For any given normed spaces $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ with the corresponding norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$, the *symbol* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ means that for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$, then $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}}$. For any measurable subset E of $\mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by E^c the set $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$ and by χ_E its *characteristic function*. We also set $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{1, 2, \dots\}$. For any $\theta := (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, let $|\theta| := \theta_1 + \dots + \theta_n$ and $\partial_x^\theta := \frac{\partial^{|\theta|}}{\partial x_1^{\theta_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\theta_n}}$. Given a function g on $\mathbb{R}^n$, if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) dx \neq 0$, we let $L_g := -1$; otherwise, we let $L_g \in \mathbb{N}$ be the *maximal integer* such that g has vanishing moments up to order L_g , namely, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) x^\alpha dx = 0$ for all multi-indices α with $|\alpha| \leq L_g$.

2 Preliminaries

In Subsection 2.1, we recall some notions and notation concerning Musielak-Orlicz functions considered in this paper; some specific examples of Musielak-Orlicz functions satisfying the assumptions are also given in this subsection. Subsection 2.2 is devoted to recalling some properties of Musielak-Orlicz functions established in [35].

2.1 Musielak-Orlicz functions

We recall that a function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an *Orlicz function* if it is nondecreasing, $\Phi(0) = 0$, $\Phi(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, \infty)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(t) = \infty$ (see, for example, [43, 47, 48]). The function Φ is said to be of *upper type* p (resp. *lower type* p) for some $p \in [0, \infty)$, if there exists a positive constant C such that for all $t \in [1, \infty)$ (resp. $t \in [0, 1]$) and $s \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\Phi(st) \leq Ct^p \Phi(s). \quad (2.1)$$

If Φ is of both upper type p_1 and lower type p_0 , then Φ is said to be of *type* (p_0, p_1) . Let

$$p_\Phi^+ := \inf\{p \in [0, \infty) : \text{there exists } C \in (0, \infty) \text{ such that (2.1) holds for all } t \in [1, \infty) \text{ and } s \in [0, \infty)\},$$

and

$$p_\Phi^- := \sup\{p \in [0, \infty) : \text{there exists } C \in (0, \infty) \text{ such that (2.1) holds for all } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } s \in [0, \infty)\}.$$

The function Φ is said to be of *strictly lower type* p if for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $s \in [0, \infty)$, $\Phi(st) \leq t^p \Phi(s)$, and define

$$p_\Phi := \sup\{p \in (0, \infty) : \Phi(st) \leq t^p \Phi(s) \text{ holds for all } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } s \in [0, \infty)\}.$$

It is easy to see that $p_\Phi \leq p_\Phi^- \leq p_\Phi^+$ for all Φ . In what follows, p_Φ , p_Φ^- and p_Φ^+ are respectively called the *strictly critical lower type index*, the *critical lower type index* and the *critical upper type index* of Φ . Moreover, it was proved in [30, Remark 2.1] that Φ is also of strictly lower type p_Φ ; in other words, p_Φ is *attainable*.

Remark 2.1. We observe that, via the Aoki-Rolewicz theorem in [1, 50], all results in [64] are still true if the assumptions on p_{Φ} therein are relaxed into the same assumptions on p_{Φ}^{-} .

Given a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ is an Orlicz function, and we say that φ is of *uniformly upper type p* (resp. *uniformly lower type p*) for some $p \in [0, \infty)$ if there exists a positive constant C such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in [0, \infty)$ and $s \in [1, \infty)$ (resp. $s \in [0, 1]$),

$$\varphi(x, st) \leq C s^p \varphi(x, t). \quad (2.2)$$

We say that φ is of *positive uniformly upper type* (resp. *uniformly lower type*) if it is of uniformly upper type (resp. uniformly lower type) p for some $p \in (0, \infty)$, and let

$$i(\varphi) := \sup\{p \in (0, \infty) : \varphi \text{ is of uniformly lower type } p\}. \quad (2.3)$$

The following notion of local weights was introduced by Rychkov [51] when $q \in (1, \infty]$ and by Tang [58] when $p = 1$. Let Q be a cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and denote its Lebesgue measure by $|Q|$. Throughout the whole paper, *all cubes are assumed to be closed with their sides parallel to the coordinate axes*.

Definition 2.2. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. The *weight class $A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$* is defined to be the set of all nonnegative locally integrable functions ω on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$A_p^{\text{loc}}(\omega) := \sup_{|Q| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|Q|^p} \int_Q \omega(x) dx \left(\int_Q [\omega(x)]^{-p'/p} dx \right)^{p/p'} < \infty, \quad (2.4)$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|Q| \leq 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

When $p = 1$, the *weight class $A_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$* is defined to be the set of all nonnegative locally integrable functions ω on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$A_1^{\text{loc}}(\omega) := \sup_{|Q| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega(x) dx \left(\operatorname{esssup}_{y \in Q} [\omega(y)]^{-1} \right) < \infty, \quad (2.5)$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|Q| \leq 1$.

When $p = \infty$, the *weight class $A_{\infty}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$* is defined to be the set of all nonnegative locally integrable functions ω on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$A_{\infty}^{\text{loc}}(\omega; \alpha) := \sup_{|Q| \leq 1} \left[\sup_{F \subset Q, |F| \geq \alpha |Q|} \frac{\omega(Q)}{\omega(F)} \right] < \infty, \quad (2.6)$$

where the first supremum is taken over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|Q| \leq 1$ and the second one over all measurable sets $F \subset Q$ with the indicated properties. Here and in what follows, for any subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\omega(E) := \int_E \omega(x) dx$.

Remark 2.3. (i) By Hölder's inequality, we see that $A_{p_1}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset A_{p_2}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset A_{\infty}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \infty$. Conversely, it was proved in [51, Lemma 1.3] that if $\omega \in A_{\infty}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\omega \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty)$. Thus, we see that $A_{\infty}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \cup_{1 \leq p < \infty} A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) For any given constant $\tilde{C} \in (0, \infty)$, the condition $|Q| \leq 1$ can be replaced by $|Q| \leq \tilde{C}$ in (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) (see [51, Remark 1.5]). In this case, $A_p^{\text{loc}}(\omega)$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $A_{\infty}^{\text{loc}}(\omega, \alpha)$ depend on $\tilde{C}$.

(iii) By the definition of $A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that if $\omega \in A_q^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $q \in [1, \infty)$, then $\omega \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $p \in (q, \infty]$. It was proved in [58, Lemma 2.1(ii)] that if $\omega \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p \in (1, \infty)$, then $\omega \in A_r^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $r \in [1, p)$. Thus, for any given $\omega \in A_{\infty}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define the *critical index of ω* by

$$q_{\omega} := \inf \{p \in [1, \infty) : \omega \in A_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}.$$

Obviously, $q_{\omega} \in [1, \infty)$. When $q_{\omega} \in (1, \infty)$, it is easy to see that $\omega \notin A_{q_{\omega}}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, motivated by Johnson and Neugebauer [34, p. 254, Remark], it was proved in [64, Remark 2.5] that there exists an $\omega \notin A_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $q_{\omega} = 1$.

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy the fact that $x \mapsto \varphi(x, t)$ is measurable for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Following [35], $\varphi(\cdot, t)$ is called *uniformly locally integrable* if for all compact sets K in $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\int_K \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left\{ \varphi(x, t) \left[\int_K \varphi(y, t) dy \right]^{-1} \right\} dx < \infty.$$

Definition 2.4. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be uniformly locally integrable. The function $\varphi(\cdot, t)$ is said to satisfy the *uniformly local weight condition* for some $q \in [1, \infty)$, denoted by $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_q^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if, when $q \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\mathbb{A}_q^{\text{loc}}(\varphi) := \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \sup_{|Q| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|Q|^q} \int_Q \varphi(x, t) dx \left(\int_Q [\varphi(y, t)]^{-q'/q} dy \right)^{q/q'} < \infty, \quad (2.7)$$

where $1/q + 1/q' = 1$, or

$$\mathbb{A}_1^{\text{loc}}(\varphi) := \sup_{t \in [0, \infty)} \sup_{|Q| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \varphi(x, t) dx \left(\operatorname{esssup}_{y \in Q} [\varphi(y, t)]^{-1} \right) < \infty. \quad (2.8)$$

Here, the first supremums are taken over all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and the second ones over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|Q| \leq 1$.

Recall that in Definition 2.2, if $\sup_{|Q| \leq 1}$ in (2.7) and (2.8) is replaced by $\sup_Q$, then $\mathbb{A}_q^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is just $\mathbb{A}_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which was introduced by Ky [35].

Let $\mathbb{A}_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \cup_{q \in [1, \infty)} \mathbb{A}_q^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and define

$$q(\varphi) := \inf \{ q \in [1, \infty) : \varphi \in \mathbb{A}_q^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \}. \quad (2.9)$$

Obviously, $q(\varphi) \in [1, \infty)$. Similar to the proof of [58, Lemma 2.1(ii)], we know that if $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p \in (1, \infty)$, then $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_r^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $r \in [1, p)$. Thus, when $q(\varphi) \in (1, \infty)$, we know that $\varphi \notin \mathbb{A}_{q(\varphi)}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, from Remark 2.3(iii), we deduce that there exists $\varphi \notin \mathbb{A}_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $q(\varphi) = 1$.

Now, we introduce the notion of growth functions.

Definition 2.5. A function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a *growth function* if the following hold:

(i) The function φ is a *Musielak-Orlicz function*, namely,

- (i)₁ the function $\varphi(x, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is an Orlicz function for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (i)₂ the function $\varphi(\cdot, t)$ is a measurable function for all $t \in [0, \infty)$.

(ii) $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(iii) The function φ is of positive uniformly lower type p for some $p \in (0, 1]$ and of uniformly upper type 1.

For a growth function φ , we define

$$m(\varphi) := \left\lfloor n \left[\frac{q(\varphi)}{i(\varphi)} - 1 \right] \right\rfloor. \quad (2.10)$$

Clearly, φ as in (1.1) is a growth function if $\omega \in A_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Φ is an Orlicz function of lower type p for some $p \in (0, 1]$ and of upper type 1. It is known that, for $p \in (0, 1]$, if $\Phi(t) := t^p$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then Φ is an Orlicz function with $p_\Phi = p_\Phi^- = p_\Phi^+ = p$; for $p \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, if $\Phi(t) := t^p / \ln(e + t)$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then Φ is an Orlicz function with $p_\Phi^- = p = p_\Phi^+$, p_Φ^- is not attainable, but p_Φ^+ is attainable; for $p \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, if $\Phi(t) := t^p \ln(e + t)$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then Φ is an Orlicz function with $p_\Phi^- = p = p_\Phi^+$, p_Φ^- is attainable, but p_Φ^+ is not attainable. Another typical and useful growth function is

$$\varphi(x, t) := \frac{t^\alpha}{[\ln(e + |x|)]^\beta + [\ln(e + t)]^\gamma}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$ with some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ and $\gamma \in [0, 2\alpha(1 + \ln 2)]$; more precisely, $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_1^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, φ is of uniformly upper type α and $i(\varphi) = \alpha$ (see [35]).

2.2 Some basic properties of growth functions

From now on till the end of this paper, we *always assume that φ is a growth function* as in Definition 2.5. Let us now introduce the Musielak-Orlicz space.

The *Musielak-Orlicz space* $L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all measurable functions f such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, |f(x)|) dx < \infty$ with *Luxembourg norm*

$$\|f\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \left(x, \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

In what follows, for any measurable subset E of $\mathbb{R}^n$, we denote $\int_E \varphi(x, t) dx$ by $\varphi(E, t)$ for any $t \in [0, \infty)$.

The following Lemmas 2.6, 2.7 and 2.8 on the properties of growth functions are, respectively, [35, Lemmas 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3].

Lemma 2.6. (i) Let φ be a growth function. Then φ is uniformly σ -quasi-subadditive on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$, namely, there exists a positive constant C such that for all $(x, t_j) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\varphi \left(x, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j \right) \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(x, t_j).$$

(ii) Let φ be a growth function and $\tilde{\varphi}(x, t) := \int_0^t \frac{\varphi(x, s)}{s} ds$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a growth function, which is equivalent to φ ; moreover, $\tilde{\varphi}(x, \cdot)$ is continuous and strictly increasing.

(iii) A Musielak-Orlicz function φ is a growth function if and only if φ satisfies Definition 2.5(ii), and is of positive uniformly lower type and uniformly quasi-concave, namely, there exists a positive constant C such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t, s \in [0, \infty)$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$\lambda \varphi(x, t) + (1 - \lambda) \varphi(x, s) \leq C \varphi(x, \lambda t + (1 - \lambda)s).$$

Lemma 2.7. Let φ be a growth function. Then

- (i) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}}) dx = 1$ for all $f \in L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$;
- (ii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_k\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, |f_k(x)|) dx = 0$.

Lemma 2.8. Let c be a positive constant. Then, there exists a positive constant C such that

- (i) the inequality $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}) dx \leq c$ for some $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ implies that $\|f\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\lambda$;
- (ii) the inequality $\sum_j \varphi(Q_j, \frac{t_j}{\lambda}) \leq c$ for some $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ implies that

$$\inf \left\{ \alpha \in (0, \infty) : \sum_j \varphi \left(Q_j, \frac{t_j}{\alpha} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \leq C\lambda,$$

where $\{t_j\}_j$ is a sequence of positive numbers and $\{Q_j\}_j$ a sequence of cubes.

In what follows, $Q(x, t)$ denotes the closed cube centered at x and of the sidelength t . Similarly, given $Q = Q(x, t)$ and $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, we write λQ for the λ -dilated cube, which is the cube with the same center x and with sidelength λt . Given a Lebesgue measurable set E and a weight $\omega \in A_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $\omega(E) := \int_E \omega(x) dx$. For any $\omega \in A_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $p \in (0, \infty)$, denotes the set of all measurable functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right\}^{1/p} < \infty,$$

and $L_\omega^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) := L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For a positive constant $\tilde{C}$, any locally integrable function f and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the local Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $M_{\tilde{C}}^{\text{loc}}(f)(x)$ is defined by

$$M_{\tilde{C}}^{\text{loc}}(f)(x) := \sup_{Q \ni x, |Q| \leq \tilde{C}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $Q \ni x$ and $|Q| \leq \tilde{C}$. If $\tilde{C} = 1$, we denote $M_{\tilde{C}}^{\text{loc}}(f)$ simply by $M^{\text{loc}}(f)$.

Lemma 2.9. (i) Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and Q be a unit cube, namely, $l(Q) = 1$. Then there exist a $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathbb{A}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, such that $\tilde{\varphi}(\cdot, t) = \varphi(\cdot, t)$ on Q for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, and a positive constant C , independent of Q , t and φ , such that $\mathbb{A}_p(\tilde{\varphi}) \leq C\mathbb{A}_p^{\text{loc}}(\varphi)$.

(ii) Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_q^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $q \in [1, \infty)$ and $Q := Q(x_0, l(Q))$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for all $t \in [0, \infty)$, $\varphi(2Q, t) \leq C\varphi(Q, t)$ when $l(Q) < 1$, and $\varphi(Q(x_0, l(Q) + 1), t) \leq C\varphi(Q, t)$ when $l(Q) \geq 1$.

(iii) If $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists a positive constant C such that for all measurable functions f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [M^{\text{loc}}(f)(x)]^p \varphi(x, t) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p \varphi(x, t) dx.$$

(iv) If $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in [1, \infty)$, then there exists a positive constant C such that for all cubes $Q_1, Q_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $Q_1 \subset Q_2$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\frac{\varphi(Q_2, t)}{\varphi(Q_1, t)} \leq C \left[\frac{|Q_2|}{|Q_1|} \right]^p.$$

Proof. If φ is as in (1.1), then (i) is just [51, Lemma 1.1], (ii) and (iii) are just [58, Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.1] and (vi) is included, for example, in [18, 19, 54]. Since φ satisfies the uniformly local weight condition on t , the proof of the desired conclusions of Lemma 2.9 is a slight modification of these classical results. We omit the details here. This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.9. $\square$

Remark 2.10. Let $\tilde{C}$ be a positive constant. Then (i) through (v) of Lemma 2.9 are also true, if $l(Q) = 1$, $l(Q) \geq 1$, $l(Q) < 1$, $Q(x_0, l(Q) + 1)$ and M^{loc} are respectively replaced by $l(Q) = \tilde{C}$, $l(Q) \geq \tilde{C}$, $l(Q) < \tilde{C}$, $Q(x_0, l(Q) + \tilde{C})$ and $M_{\tilde{C}}^{\text{loc}}$ (see [51, Remark 1.5] for the proofs). In this case, the constants, appearing in (i), (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2.9, depend on $\tilde{C}$.

For $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L_{\varphi}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have the following conclusions.

Lemma 2.11. Let $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_{\infty}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $q(\varphi)$ be as in (2.9) and $p \in (q(\varphi), \infty]$.

- (i) If $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, then $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L_{[\varphi(\cdot, 1)]^{-1/(p-1)}}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (ii) $L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the inclusion is continuous.
- (iii) Let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx = 1$. If $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$, then for any $f \in L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f * \phi_t \rightarrow f$ in $L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, here and in what follows, $\phi_t(x) := \frac{1}{t^n} \phi(\frac{x}{t})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$.

We remark that (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.11, and Lemma 2.11(iii) are, respectively, [58, Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.1].

3 Local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy spaces and their maximal function characterizations

In this section, we introduce the local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via the local grand maximal function and establish its local vertical and nontangential maximal function characterizations via a local Calderón reproducing formula and some useful estimates obtained by Rychkov [51]. We also introduce the atomic local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space $h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and give some of their basic properties.

First, we introduce some local maximal functions. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \in (0, \infty)$, let

$$\mathcal{D}_{N, R}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \text{supp}(\psi) \subset B(0, R), \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{D}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha| \leq N} |\partial^{\alpha} \psi(x)| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Definition 3.1. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $R \in (0, \infty)$. For any $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the *local nontangential grand maximal function* $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{N,R}(f)$ of f is defined by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{N,R}(f)(x) := \sup \{ |\psi_t * f(z)| : |x - z| < t < 1, \psi \in \mathcal{D}_{N,R}(\mathbb{R}^n) \}, \quad (3.1)$$

and the *local vertical grand maximal function* $\mathcal{G}_{N,R}(f)$ of f is defined by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{G}_{N,R}(f)(x) := \sup \{ |\psi_t * f(x)| : t \in (0, 1), \psi \in \mathcal{D}_{N,R}(\mathbb{R}^n) \}. \quad (3.2)$$

For the sake of convenience, when $R = 1$, we denote $\mathcal{D}_{N,R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{N,R}(f)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{N,R}(f)$ simply by $\mathcal{D}_N^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_N^0(f)$ and $\mathcal{G}_N^0(f)$, respectively; when $R = 2^{3(10+n)}$, we denote $\mathcal{D}_{N,R}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{N,R}(f)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{N,R}(f)$ simply by $\mathcal{D}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_N(f)$ and $\mathcal{G}_N(f)$, respectively. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, obviously,

$$\mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x) \leq \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_N(f)(x).$$

For the local grand maximal function $\mathcal{G}_N^0(f)$, we have the following Proposition 3.2, which is just [58, Proposition 2.2].

Proposition 3.2. Let $N \geq 2$.

(i) Then there exists a positive constant C such that for all $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|f(x)| \leq \mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x) \leq M^{\text{loc}}(f)(x).$$

(ii) If $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$, then $f \in L_{\varphi(\cdot,1)}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{G}_N^0(f) \in L_{\varphi(\cdot,1)}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$; moreover,

$$\|f\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot,1)}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|\mathcal{G}_N^0(f)\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot,1)}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

with the implicit positive constants independent of f .

Now, we introduce the local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space via the local grand maximal function as follows.

Definition 3.3. Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5, $m(\varphi)$ as in (2.10), and $\tilde{N}_\varphi := m(\varphi) + 2$. For each $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $N \geq \tilde{N}_\varphi$, the *local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space* $h_{\varphi,N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$h_{\varphi,N}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \{f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \mathcal{G}_N(f) \in L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)\}.$$

Moreover, let $\|f\|_{h_{\varphi,N}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|\mathcal{G}_N(f)\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Obviously, for any integers N_1 and N_2 with $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \tilde{N}_\varphi$, $h_{\varphi,\tilde{N}_\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset h_{\varphi,N_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset h_{\varphi,N_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the inclusions are continuous.

Next, we introduce the weighted local atoms, via which, we introduce the *atomic local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space*.

Definition 3.4. (i) For each cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, denote by $L_\varphi^q(Q)$, $q \in [1, \infty]$, the set of all measurable functions f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ supported in Q such that

$$\|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)} := \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left[\frac{1}{\varphi(Q, t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^q \varphi(x, t) dx \right]^{1/q} < \infty, & q \in [1, \infty), \\ \|f\|_{L^\infty(Q)} < \infty, & q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

(ii) Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5 which satisfies the fact that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, t) dx < \infty$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. The space $L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $q \in [1, \infty]$ is defined as in (i) with Q replaced by $\mathbb{R}^n$, here and in what follows,

$$\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, t) dx.$$

It is easy to see that both $(L_\varphi^q(Q), \|\cdot\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)})$ and $(L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)})$ are Banach spaces.

Definition 3.5. Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5, $q(\varphi)$ and $m(\varphi)$ respectively as in (2.9) and (2.10). A triplet (φ, q, s) is called *admissible* if $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty]$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \geq m(\varphi)$. A measurable function a on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called a (φ, q, s) -atom if there exists a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

- (i) $\text{supp}(a) \subset Q$;
- (ii) $\|a\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)} \leq \|\chi_Q\|_{L_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}$;
- (iii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x)x^\alpha dx = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq s$, when $l(Q) < 1$.

Moreover, a function a on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called a (φ, q) -single-atom with $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty]$, if

$$\|a\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|_{L_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}.$$

Remark 3.6. Let $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty]$. It is easy to see that a finite linear combination of (φ, q) -single-atoms is still a multiple of a (φ, q) -single-atom.

Definition 3.7. Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5 and (φ, q, s) admissible. The *atomic local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space*, $h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is defined to be the set of all $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the fact that $f = \sum_{i=0}^\infty b_i$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of multiples of (φ, q, s) -atoms with $\text{supp}(b_i) \subset Q_i$ and b_0 is a multiple of some (φ, q) -single-atom, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \varphi\left(Q_i, \|b_i\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q_i)}\right) + \varphi\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \|b_0\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) < \infty.$$

Moreover, letting

$$\Lambda_q(\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \sum_{i=1}^\infty \varphi\left(Q_i, \frac{\|b_i\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q_i)}}{\lambda}\right) + \varphi\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\|b_0\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

the *quasi-norm* of $f \in h_{\omega}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \{ \Lambda_q(\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) \},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the decompositions of f as above.

Next, we introduce some local vertical, tangential and nontangential maximal functions, and establish the characterizations of the *local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space* $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in terms of these local maximal functions.

Definition 3.8. Let

$$\psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ with } \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_0(x) dx \neq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $A, B \in [0, \infty)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $m_{j, A, B}(y) := (1 + 2^j|y|)^A 2^{B|y|}$. The *local vertical maximal function* $\psi_0^+(f)$ of f associated with ψ_0 is defined by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\psi_0^+(f)(x) := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |(\psi_0)_j * f(x)|, \quad (3.4)$$

the *local tangential Peetre-type maximal function* $\psi_{0, A, B}^{**}(f)$ of f associated with ψ_0 is defined by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\psi_{0, A, B}^{**}(f)(x) := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}, y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|(\psi_0)_j * f(x - y)|}{m_{j, A, B}(y)} \quad (3.5)$$

and the *local nontangential maximal function* $(\psi_0)_\nabla^*(f)$ of f associated with ψ_0 is defined by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(\psi_0)_\nabla^*(f)(x) := \sup_{|x-y| < t < 1} |(\psi_0)_t * f(y)|, \quad (3.6)$$

here and in what follows, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $(\psi_0)_j(x) := 2^{jn} \psi_0(2^j x)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\psi_0)_t(x) := \frac{1}{t^n} \psi_0(\frac{x}{t})$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$.

Obviously, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\psi_0^+(f)(x) \leq (\psi_0)_\nabla^*(f)(x) \lesssim \psi_{0,A,B}^{**}(f)(x).$$

We remark that the local tangential Peetre-type maximal function $\psi_{0,A,B}^{**}(f)$ was introduced by Rychkov [51].

In order to establish the local vertical and the local nontangential maximal function characterizations of $h_{\varphi,N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we first establish some relations in the norm of $L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the local maximal functions $\psi_{0,A,B}^{**}(f)$, $\psi_0^+(f)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{N,R}(f)$. We begin with some technical lemmas and the following Lemma 3.9 is just [51, Theorem 1.6].

Lemma 3.9. *Let ψ_0 be as in (3.3) and $\psi(x) := \psi_0(x) - \frac{1}{2^n}\psi_0(\frac{x}{2})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for any given integer $L \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $\eta_0, \eta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $L_\eta \geq L$ and for all $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$f = \eta_0 * \psi_0 * f + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_j * \psi_j * f$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark 3.10. Let ψ_0, ψ, η_0 and η be as in Lemma 3.9. From the proof of [51, Theorem 1.6], it is easy to deduce that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$f = (\eta_0)_j * (\psi_0)_j * f + \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \eta_k * \psi_k * f$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see also [51, (2.11)]). We omit the details.

For $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $B \in [0, \infty)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$K_B f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| 2^{-B|x-y|} dy, \quad (3.7)$$

here and in what follows, $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of all locally integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$, $q \in (1, \infty]$, and $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for all sequences $\{f^j\}_j$ of measurable functions and all $t \in (0, \infty)$,*

$$\left\| \{M^{\text{loc}}(f^j)\}_j \right\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot,t)}^p(l_q)} \leq C \left\| \{f^j\}_j \right\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot,t)}^p(l_q)}, \quad (3.8)$$

here and in what follows,

$$\left\| \{f^j\}_j \right\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot,t)}^p(l_q)} := \left\| \left\{ \sum_j |f^j|^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot,t)}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Also, there exist positive constants C and $B_0 := B_0(\varphi, n)$ such that for all $B \geq B_0/p$,

$$\left\| \{K_B(f^j)\}_j \right\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot,t)}^p(l_q)} \leq C \left\| \{f^j\}_j \right\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot,t)}^p(l_q)}. \quad (3.9)$$

Lemma 3.11 is just [51, Lemma 2.11]. Moreover, from the proof of [51, Lemma 2.11], it is easy to deduce that (3.8) also holds for $M_{\tilde{C}}^{\text{loc}}$ with any given positive constant $\tilde{C}$. In this case, the positive constant C in (3.8) depends on $\tilde{C}$.

Lemma 3.12. Let ψ_0 be as in (3.3) and $r \in (0, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant A_0 , depending only on the support of ψ_0 , such that for any $A \in (\max\{A_0, \frac{n}{r}\}, \infty)$ and $B \in [0, \infty)$, there exists a positive constant C , depending only on n, r, ψ_0, A and B , satisfying the fact that for all $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$[(\psi_0)_{j,A,B}^*(f)(x)]^r \leq C \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} 2^{(j-k)(Ar-n)} \{M^{\text{loc}}(|(\psi_0)_k * f|^r)(x) + K_{Br}(|(\psi_0)_k * f|^r)(x)\},$$

where, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(\psi_0)_{j,A,B}^*(f)(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|(\psi_0)_j * f(x-y)|}{m_{j,A,B}(y)}.$$

Lemma 3.12 is just [64, Lemma 3.11].

Theorem 3.13. Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5, $R \in (0, \infty)$, $\psi_0, q(\varphi)$ and $i(\varphi)$ be respectively as in (3.3), (2.9) and (2.3), and $\psi_0^+(f), \psi_{0,A,B}^{**}(f)$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{N,R}(f)$ be respectively as in (3.4), (3.5) and (3.1). Let $A_1 := \max\{A_0, nq(\varphi)/i(\varphi)\}$, $B_1 := B_0/i(\varphi)$ and integer $N_0 := \lfloor 2A_1 \rfloor + 1$, where A_0 and B_0 are respectively as in Lemmas 3.3 and 3.2. Then for any $A \in (A_1, \infty)$, $B \in (B_1, \infty)$ and integer $N \geq N_0$, there exists a positive constant C , depending only on $A, B, N, R, \psi_0, \varphi$ and n , such that for all $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\psi_{0,A,B}^{**}(f)\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\psi_0^+(f)\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{N,R}(f)\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|\psi_0^+(f)\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. First, we prove (3.10). Let $A \in (A_1, \infty)$ and $B \in (B_1, \infty)$. By $A_1 = \max\{A_0, nq(\varphi)/i(\varphi)\}$ and $B_1 = B_0/i(\varphi)$, we know that there exists $r_0 \in (0, \frac{i(\varphi)}{q(\varphi)})$ such that $A > \frac{n}{r_0}$ and $Br_0 > \frac{B_0}{q(\varphi)}$, where A_0 and B_0 are respectively as in Lemmas 3.3 and 3.11. Thus, by Lemma 3.12, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we know that

$$[(\psi_0)_{j,A,B}^*(f)(x)]^{r_0} \lesssim \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} 2^{(j-k)(Ar_0-n)} \{M^{\text{loc}}(|(\psi_0)_k * f|^{r_0})(x) + K_{Br_0}(|(\psi_0)_k * f|^{r_0})(x)\}. \quad (3.12)$$

Let $\psi_0^+(f)$ and $\psi_{0,A,B}^{**}(f)$ be respectively as in (3.4) and (3.5). We notice that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $|(\psi_0)_k * f(x)| \leq \psi_0^+(f)(x)$, which, together with (3.12), implies that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$[\psi_{0,A,B}^{**}(f)(x)]^{r_0} \lesssim M^{\text{loc}}([\psi_0^+(f)]^{r_0})(x) + K_{Br_0}([\psi_0^+(f)]^{r_0})(x). \quad (3.13)$$

From (3.13) and Lemma 2.6(i), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \psi_{0,A,B}^{**}(f)(x)) dx &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \{M^{\text{loc}}([\psi_0^+(f)]^{r_0})(x)\}^{1/r_0}\right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \{K_{Br_0}([\psi_0^+(f)]^{r_0})(x)\}^{1/r_0}\right) dx \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Now, we estimate I_1 . By $r_0 < \frac{i(\varphi)}{q(\varphi)}$, we see that there exist $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ and $p_0 \in (0, i(\varphi))$ such that $r_0 q < p_0$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_q^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and φ is of uniformly lower type p_0 . For any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $g \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $g = g\chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |g(x)| \leq \alpha\}} + g\chi_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |g(x)| > \alpha\}} =: g_1 + g_2$. It is easy to see that

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M^{\text{loc}}(g)(x) > 2\alpha\} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M^{\text{loc}}(g_2)(x) > \alpha\},$$

which, together with Lemma 2.9(iii), implies that for all $t \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: M^{\text{loc}}(g)(x) > 2\alpha\}} \varphi(x, t) dx &\leq \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: M^{\text{loc}}(g_2)(x) > \alpha\}} \varphi(x, t) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha^q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [M^{\text{loc}}(g_2)(x)]^q \varphi(x, t) dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g_2(x)|^q \varphi(x, t) dx \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\alpha^q} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |g(x)| > \alpha\}} |g(x)|^q \varphi(x, t) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Thus, for any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, from (3.15), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: [M^{\text{loc}}([\psi_0^+(f)]^{r_0})(x)]^{1/r_0} > \alpha\}} \varphi(x, t) dx \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^{r_0 q}} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: [\psi_0^+(f)(x)]^{r_0} > \frac{\alpha^{r_0}}{2}\}} [\psi_0^+(f)(x)]^{r_0 q} \varphi(x, t) dx \\ &\sim \sigma_{\psi_0^+(f), t} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2^{1/r_0}} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha^{r_0 q}} \int_{\frac{\alpha}{2^{1/r_0}}}^{\infty} r_0 q s^{r_0 q - 1} \sigma_{\psi_0^+(f), t}(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

here and in what follows,

$$\sigma_{\psi_0^+(f), t}(s) := \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: \psi_0^+(f)(x) > s\}} \varphi(x, t) dx.$$

By Lemma 2.6(ii), we know that $\varphi(x, t) \sim \int_0^t \frac{\Phi(x, s)}{s} ds$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$. From this, (3.16) and the uniformly lower type p_0 property of φ , $r_0 q < p_0$ and Fubini's theorem, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\sim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \int_0^{\{M^{\text{loc}}([\psi_0^+(f)]^{r_0})(x)\}^{1/r_0}} \frac{\varphi(x, t)}{t} dt \right\} dx \\ &\sim \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: [M^{\text{loc}}([\psi_0^+(f)]^{r_0})]^{1/r_0} > t\}} \varphi(x, t) dx dt \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: \psi_0^+(f) > \frac{t}{2^{1/r_0}}\}} \varphi(x, t) dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{r_0 q + 1}} \int_{\frac{t}{2^{1/r_0}}}^{\infty} r_0 q s^{r_0 q - 1} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: \psi_0^+(f) > s\}} \varphi(x, s) dx ds dt \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \psi_0^+(f)(x)) dx + \int_0^{\infty} r_0 q s^{r_0 q - 1} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: \psi_0^+(f)(x) > s\}} \int_0^{2^{\frac{1}{r_0}} s} \frac{\varphi(x, t)}{t^{r_0 q + 1}} dt dx ds \\ &\sim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \psi_0^+(f)(x)) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Now, we estimate I_2 . For any $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and $g \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let g_1 and g_2 be as above. For $H \in [\frac{B_0}{q}, \infty)$, let $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2^{-H|x-y|} dy =: c_H$. It is easy to see that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $K_H(g_1)(x) \leq c_H \alpha$, which implies that

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: K_H(g)(x) > (c_H + 1)\alpha\} \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: K_H(g_2)(x) > \alpha\},$$

where K_H is as in (3.7). Thus, by Lemma 3.11, we know that for all $t \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: K_H g(x) > (c_H + 1)\alpha\}} \varphi(x, t) dx \leq \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: K_H g_2(x) > \alpha\}} \varphi(x, t) dx$$

$$\lesssim \frac{1}{\alpha^q} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: |g(x)| > \alpha\}} |g(x)|^q \varphi(x, t) dx.$$

Similar to (3.16), from the above estimate, $Br_0 > B_0/q$ and Lemma 3.2, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: [K_{Br_0}([\psi_0^+(f)]^{r_0})(x)]^{1/r_0} > \alpha\}} \varphi(x, t) dx \\ & \lesssim \sigma_{\psi_0^+(f), t} \left(\frac{\alpha}{(c_{Br_0} + 1)^{1/r_0}} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha^{r_0 q}} \int_{\frac{\alpha}{(c_{Br_0} + 1)^{1/r_0}}}^{\infty} r_0 q s^{r_0 q - 1} \sigma_{\psi_0^+(f), t}(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By this, similar to the estimate of I_1 , we know that

$$I_2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \psi_0^+(f)(x)) dx. \quad (3.18)$$

Thus, from (3.14), (3.17) and (3.18), we infer that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \psi_{0,A,B}^{**}(f)(x)) dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \psi_0^+(f)(x)) dx.$$

Replacing f by f/λ with $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ in the above inequality, and noticing that

$$\psi_{0,A,B}^{**}(f/\lambda) = \psi_{0,A,B}^{**}(f)/\lambda$$

and $\psi_0^+(f/\lambda) = \psi_0^+(f)/\lambda$, we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\psi_{0,A,B}^{**}(f)(x)}{\lambda}\right) dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\psi_0^+(f)(x)}{\lambda}\right) dx, \quad (3.19)$$

which, together with the arbitrariness of $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, implies (3.10).

Now, we prove (3.11). Similar to the proof of [64, pp. 20-22], by Lemma 3.9, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{N,R}(f)(x) \lesssim \psi_{0,A,B}^{**}(f)(x)$, which, together with (3.19), implies that for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{N,R}(f)(x)}{\lambda}\right) dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\psi_0^+(f)(x)}{\lambda}\right) dx.$$

From this, we deduce that (3.11) holds, which completes the proof of Theorem 3.13. $\square$

As a corollary of Theorem 3.1, we immediately obtain that the local vertical and the local nontangential maximal function characterizations of $h_{\varphi,N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $N \geq N_{\varphi}$ as follows. Here and in what follows,

$$N_{\varphi} := \max\{\tilde{N}_{\varphi}, N_0\}, \quad (3.20)$$

where $\tilde{N}_{\varphi}$ and N_0 are respectively as in Definition 3.3 and Theorem 3.13.

Theorem 3.14. *Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5, ψ_0 and N_{φ} be respectively as in (3.3) and (3.20). Then for any integer $N \geq N_{\varphi}$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $f \in h_{\varphi,N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (ii) $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\psi_0^+(f) \in L^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (iii) $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(\psi_0)_{\nabla}^*(f) \in L^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (iv) $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_N(f) \in L^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (v) $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_N^0(f) \in L^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (vi) $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{G}_N^0(f) \in L^{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover, for all $f \in h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\sim \|\psi_0^+(f)\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|(\psi_0)_\nabla^*(f)\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\sim \|\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_N(f)\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_N^0(f)\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|\mathcal{G}_N^0(f)\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constants are independent of f .

Proof. Replacing [64, Theorem 3.12] by Theorem 3.13 and repeating the proof of [64, Theorem 3.14], we conclude the proof of Theorem 3.14. $\square$

Remark 3.15. We point out that when φ is as in (1.1), Theorems 3.13 and 3.14 are respectively Theorems 3.12 and 3.14 in [64].

As a corollary of Theorems 3.13 and 3.14, we have the following local tangential maximal function characterization of $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We omit the details.

Corollary 3.16. Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5, ψ_0 and N_φ as in (3.3) and (3.20), and A and B as in Theorem 3.13. Then for integer $N \geq N_\varphi$, $f \in h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\psi_{0, A, B}^{**}(f) \in L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$; moreover,

$$\|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|\psi_{0, A, B}^{**}(f)\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now, we give some basic properties concerning $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 3.17. Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5 and N_φ as in (3.20). If integer $N \geq N_\varphi$, then the inclusion $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous.

Proposition 3.18. Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5 and N_φ as in (3.20). If integer $N \geq N_\varphi$, then the space $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is complete.

The proofs of Propositions 3.17 and 3.18 are similar to those of [35, Propositions 5.1 and 5.2], respectively. We omit the details.

Theorem 3.19. Let N_φ be as in (3.20). If (φ, q, s) is admissible and integer $N \geq N_\varphi$, then $h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset h_{\varphi, N_\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, moreover, there exists a positive constant C such that for all $f \in h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N_\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

To prove Theorem 3.19, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.20. Let (φ, q, s) be an admissible triplet and $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $N \geq s$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for any multiple of (φ, q, s) -atom or (φ, q) -single-atom f ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x)) \, dx \leq C \varphi(Q, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}), \quad (3.21)$$

where $\text{supp}(f) \subset Q$ and, when f is a multiple of some (φ, q) -single-atom, $Q := \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. The proof of the case $q = \infty$ is easy and we omit the details. We just consider the case that $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$. Let f be a multiple of some (φ, q) -single-atom and $f \neq 0$. Then we know that $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, t) < \infty$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$. From the uniformly upper type 1 property of φ , Hölder's inequality, Lemma 2.9(iii), together with the fact that $\mathcal{G}_N^0(f) \lesssim M^{\text{loc}}(f)$ and Definition 3.3(ii), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x)) \, dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x)}{\|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\right) \varphi\left(x, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \varphi\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) + \frac{1}{\|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x)|^q \varphi\left(x, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) dx \right\}^{1/q} \\
 &\quad \times \left[\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \right]^{(q-1)/q} \\
 &\lesssim \varphi\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Thus, (3.21) holds in this case.

Now, let f be a multiple of some (φ, q, s) -atom with $f \neq 0$, and $\text{supp}(f) \subset Q := Q(x_0, r_0)$. We consider the following two cases for Q .

Case 1) $|Q| < 1$. In this case, letting $\tilde{Q} := 2\sqrt{n}Q$, then we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x)) dx = \int_{\tilde{Q}} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x)) dx + \int_{\tilde{Q}^c} \cdots =: I_1 + I_2. \tag{3.23}$$

For I_1 , similar to (3.22), we see that

$$I_1 \lesssim \varphi\left(Q, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}\right), \tag{3.24}$$

which is desired.

To estimate I_2 , we claim that for all $x \in \tilde{Q}^c$,

$$\mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x) \lesssim \frac{|Q|^{\frac{s+n+1}{n}}}{|x-x_0|^{s+n+1}} \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)} \chi_{B(x_0, 2\sqrt{n})}(x). \tag{3.25}$$

Indeed, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_N^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, let P be the Taylor expansion of ψ about $(x-x_0)/t$ with degree s . By Taylor's remainder theorem, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we know that

$$\left| \psi\left(\frac{x-y}{t}\right) - P\left(\frac{x-y}{t}\right) \right| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ |\alpha|=s+1}} \left| (\partial^\alpha \psi)\left(\frac{\theta(x-y) + (1-\theta)(x-x_0)}{t}\right) \right| \left| \frac{x_0-y}{t} \right|^{s+1},$$

where $\theta \in (0, 1)$. From $t \in (0, 1)$ and $x \in \tilde{Q}^c$, we deduce that $\text{supp}(f * \psi_t) \subset B(x_0, 2\sqrt{n})$ and that $f * \psi_t(x) \neq 0$ implies that $t > \frac{|x-x_0|}{2}$. Thus, by these observations, Definition 3.5(iii), (2.7), Hölder's inequality and Definition 3.4(i), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f * \psi_t(x)| &= \frac{1}{t^n} \left| \int_Q f(y) \left[\psi\left(\frac{x-y}{t}\right) - P\left(\frac{x-y}{t}\right) \right] dy \right| \chi_{B(x_0, 2\sqrt{n})}(x) \\
 &\lesssim |x-x_0|^{-(s+n+1)} \left\{ \int_Q |f(y)| |x_0-y|^{s+1} dy \right\} \chi_{B(x_0, 2\sqrt{n})}(x) \\
 &\lesssim |Q|^{\frac{s+1}{n}} \left\{ \int_Q |f(y)|^q \varphi(x, \lambda) dy \right\}^{1/q} \left\{ \int_Q [\varphi(y, \lambda)]^{-1/(q-1)} dy \right\}^{(q-1)/q} \\
 &\quad \times |x-x_0|^{-(s+n+1)} \chi_{B(x_0, 2\sqrt{n})}(x) \\
 &\lesssim \frac{|Q|^{\frac{s+n+1}{n}}}{|x-x_0|^{s+n+1}} \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)} \chi_{B(x_0, 2\sqrt{n})}(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, which, together with the arbitrariness of $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_N^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, implies (3.25). Thus, the claim holds.

Let $Q_k := 2^k \sqrt{n}Q$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfy $2^{k_0}r \leq 4 < 2^{k_0+1}r$. By $s = \lfloor n[\frac{q(\varphi)}{i(\varphi)} - 1] \rfloor$, we know that there exist $q_0 \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ and $p_0 \in (0, i(\varphi))$ such that φ is of uniformly lower type p_0 and $p_0(s+n+1) > nq_0$. From Lemma 2.9(i) and Remark 2.10, it follows that there exists a $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathbb{A}_{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

such that $\varphi(\cdot, t) = \tilde{\varphi}(\cdot, t)$ on $Q(x_0, 8\sqrt{n})$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. By this, (3.25), the uniformly lower type p_0 property of φ and Lemma 2.9(ii), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \int_{\sqrt{n}r \leq |x-x_0| < 2\sqrt{n}} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x)) \, dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\sqrt{n}r \leq |x-x_0| < 2\sqrt{n}} \tilde{\varphi}\left(x, \frac{|Q|^{\frac{s+n+1}{n}}}{|x-x_0|^{s+n+1}} \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}\right) \, dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \int_{Q_{k+1} \setminus Q_k} \tilde{\varphi}\left(x, 2^{-k(s+n+1)} \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}\right) \, dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} 2^{-k(s+n+1)p_0} \tilde{\varphi}\left(Q_{k+1}, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}\right) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} 2^{-k[(s+n+1)p_0 - nq_0]} \varphi\left(Q, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}\right) \lesssim \varphi\left(Q, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (3.24) and (3.23), implies (3.21) in Case 1).

Case 2) $|Q| \geq 1$. In this case, let $Q^* := Q(x_0, r+2)$. Thus, from $\text{supp}(\mathcal{G}_N^0(f)) \subset Q^*$, the uniformly upper type 1 property of φ , Hölder's inequality, Proposition 3.2(ii) and Lemma 2.9(ii), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x)) \, dx \\ &= \int_{Q^*} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x)) \, dx \leq \int_{Q^*} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x)}{\|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}}\right) \varphi(x, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}) \, dx \\ &\leq \varphi(Q^*, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}) + \frac{1}{\|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}} \left\{ \int_{Q^*} |\mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x)|^q \varphi(x, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}) \, dx \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\quad \times \left[\varphi(Q^*, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}) \right]^{(q-1)/q} \lesssim \varphi(Q^*, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}) \lesssim \varphi(Q, \|f\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}). \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.21) in Case 2) and hence completes the proof of Lemma 3.20. $\square$

Now, we show Theorem 3.19 by using Lemma 3.20.

Proof of Theorem 3.19. Obviously, by Definition 3.3, we only need to prove that

$$h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset h_{\varphi, N_\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and, for all $f \in h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N_\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Indeed, for any $f \in h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where f_0 is a multiple of some (φ, q) -single-atom and for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, f_i is a multiple of some (φ, q, s) -atom supported in the cube Q_i . It is easy to see that $\mathcal{G}_{N_\varphi}^0(f) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_{N_\varphi}^0(f_i)$. From this, Lemma 2.1(i) and Lemma 3.20, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\mathcal{G}_{N_\varphi}^0(f)(x)}{\Lambda_q(\{f_i\}_{i=0}^\infty)}\right) \, dx &\lesssim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\mathcal{G}_{N_\varphi}^0(f_i)(x)}{\Lambda_q(\{f_i\}_{i=0}^\infty)}\right) \, dx \\ &\lesssim \varphi\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\|f_0\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\Lambda_q(\{f_i\}_{i=0}^\infty)}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(Q_i, \frac{\|f_i\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q_i)}}{\Lambda_q(\{f_i\}_{i=0}^\infty)}\right) \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with Theorem 3.14, implies that $\|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N_\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.19. $\square$

4 Calderón-Zygmund decompositions

In this section, we recall some subtle estimates for the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition associated with local grand maximal functions from [58]. Notice that the construction of the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition in [58] is similar to those in [8, 10, 54].

Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5 and $q(\varphi)$ as in (2.9). Let integer $N \geq 2$, $\mathcal{G}_N(f)$ and $\mathcal{G}_N^0(f)$ be as in (3.2).

Throughout this section, let $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy the fact that for all $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x) > \lambda\}} \varphi(x, t) dx < \infty.$$

For a given $\lambda > \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)$, set

$$\Omega_\lambda := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x) > \lambda\}. \quad (4.1)$$

It is obvious that Ω_λ is a proper open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. By the Whitney decomposition of Ω_λ given in [58] (see also [8, 10, 54]), we have closed cubes $\{Q_i\}_i$ such that

$$\Omega_\lambda = \bigcup_i Q_i, \quad (4.2)$$

their interiors are away from Ω_λ^c and $\text{diam}(Q_i) \leq 2^{-(6+n)} \text{dist}(Q_i, \Omega_\lambda^c) \leq 4 \text{diam}(Q_i)$. In what follows, fix $a := 1 + 2^{-(11+n)}$ and denote aQ_i by Q_i^* for all i . Then we have $Q_i \subset Q_i^*$. Moreover, $\Omega_\lambda = \bigcup_i Q_i^*$, and $\{Q_i^*\}_i$ have the *bounded interior property*, namely, every point in Ω_λ is contained in at most a fixed number of $\{Q_i^*\}_i$.

Now, we take a function $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$, $\text{supp } \xi \subset aQ(0, 1)$ and $\xi \equiv 1$ on $Q(0, 1)$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, set $\xi_i(x) := \xi((x - x_i)/l_i)$, here and in what follows, x_i is the *center* of the cube Q_i and l_i its *sidelength*. Obviously, by the constructions of $\{Q_i^*\}_i$ and $\{\xi_i\}_i$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $1 \leq \sum_i \xi_i(x) \leq L$, where L is a fixed positive integer independent of x . Let

$$\zeta_i := \xi_i \left[\sum_j \xi_j \right]^{-1}. \quad (4.3)$$

Then $\{\zeta_i\}_i$ forms a smooth partition of unity for Ω_λ subordinate to the locally finite cover $\{Q_i^*\}_i$ of Ω_λ , namely, $\chi_{\Omega_\lambda} = \sum_i \zeta_i$ with each $\zeta_i \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ supported in Q_i^* .

Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be some fixed integer and $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the *linear space of polynomials in n variables of degrees no more than s* . For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, set

$$\|P\|_i := \left\{ \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta_i(y) dy \right]^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |P(x)|^2 \zeta_i(x) dx \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (4.4)$$

Then it is easy to know that $(\mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_i)$ is a finite dimensional Hilbert space. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since f induces a linear functional on $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via $P \mapsto [\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta_i(y) dy]^{-1} \langle f, P \zeta_i \rangle$, by the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique polynomial

$$P_i \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (4.5)$$

for each i such that for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\langle f, P \zeta_i \rangle = \langle P_i, P \zeta_i \rangle$. For each i , define the distribution

$$b_i := (f - P_i) \zeta_i \text{ when } l_i \in (0, 1), \text{ and } b_i := f \zeta_i \text{ when } l_i \in [1, \infty). \quad (4.6)$$

We show that for suitable choices of s and N , the series $\sum_i b_i$ converges in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, in this case, we let $g := f - \sum_i b_i$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We point out that the representation

$$f = g + \sum_i b_i, \quad (4.7)$$

where g and b_i are as above, is called a *Calderón-Zygmund decomposition* of f of degree s and height λ associated with $\mathcal{G}_N(f)$.

The remainder of this section consists of a series of lemmas. Lemma 4.1 gives a property of the smooth partition of unity $\{\zeta_i\}_i$, Lemmas 4.2 through 4.5 are devoted to some estimates for the bad parts $\{b_i\}_i$, and Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7 give some controls over the good part g . Finally, Corollary 4.8 shows that the density of $L^q_{\varphi(\cdot,1)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap h_{\varphi,N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $h_{\varphi,N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$. The following Lemmas 4.1 through 4.3 are respectively Lemmas 4.2 through 4.5 in [58].

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a positive constant C_1 such that for all $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\lambda > \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)$ and $l_i \in (0, 1)$, $\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} |P_i(y)\zeta_i(y)| \leq C_1 \lambda$.*

Lemma 4.2. *There exists a positive constant C_2 such that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $x \in Q_i^*$,*

$$\mathcal{G}_N^0(b_i)(x) \leq C_2 \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x). \quad (4.8)$$

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that integers s and N satisfy $0 \leq s < N$ and $N \geq 2$. Then there exist positive constants C , C_3 and C_4 such that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $x \in (Q_i^*)^c$,*

$$\mathcal{G}_N^0(b_i)(x) \leq C \frac{\lambda_i^{n+s+1}}{(l_i + |x - x_i|)^{n+s+1}} \chi_{B(x_i, C_3)}(x), \quad (4.9)$$

where x_i is the center of the cube Q_i . Moreover, if $x \in (Q_i^*)^c$ and $l_i \in [C_4, \infty)$, then $\mathcal{G}_N^0(b_i)(x) = 0$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5 and $m(\varphi)$ as in (2.10). If integers $s \geq m(\varphi)$, $N > s$ and $N \geq N_\varphi$, where N_φ is as in (3.20), then there exists a positive constant C_5 such that for all $f \in h_{\varphi,N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\lambda > \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(b_i)(x)) dx \leq C_5 \int_{Q_i^*} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)) dx. \quad (4.10)$$

Moreover, the series $\sum_i b_i$ converges in $h_{\varphi,N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0\left(\sum_i b_i\right)(x)\right) dx \leq C_5 \int_{\Omega_\lambda} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)) dx. \quad (4.11)$$

Proof. By Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 and the uniformly upper type 1 property of φ , we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(b_i)(x)) dx \lesssim \int_{Q_i^*} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)) dx + \int_{(2C_3Q_i^0) \setminus Q_i^*} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(b_i)(x)) dx, \quad (4.12)$$

where $Q_i^0 := Q(x_i, 1)$. Notice that $s \geq \lfloor n(q(\varphi)/i(\varphi) - 1) \rfloor$ implies $(s + n + 1)i(\varphi) > nq(\varphi)$. Thus, we take $q_0 \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ and $p_0 \in (0, i(\varphi))$ such that φ is of uniformly lower type p_0 , $(s + n + 1)p_0 > nq_0$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_{q_0}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From Lemma 2.9(i), it follows that there exists a $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathbb{A}_{q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}(\cdot, t) = \varphi(\cdot, t)$ on $2C_3Q_i^0$ and $\mathbb{A}_{q_0}(\tilde{\varphi}) \lesssim \mathbb{A}_{q_0}^{\text{loc}}(\varphi)$. Using Lemma 4.3, the uniformly lower p_0 property of φ , Lemma 2.9(iv) and the fact that $\mathcal{G}_N(f) > \lambda$ for all $x \in Q_i^*$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(2C_3Q_i^0) \setminus Q_i^*} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(b_i)(x)) dx \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \int_{2^k Q_i^* \setminus 2^{k-1} Q_i^*} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(b_i)(x)) dx \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \int_{2^k Q_i^*} \tilde{\varphi}\left(x, \frac{\lambda}{2^{k(n+s+1)}}\right) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} 2^{-k[(n+s+1)p_0-nq_0]} \tilde{\varphi}(Q_i^*, \lambda) \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} 2^{-k[(n+s+1)p_0-nq_0]} \varphi(Q_i^*, \lambda) \\
 &\lesssim \int_{Q_i^*} \varphi(x, \lambda) dx \lesssim \int_{Q_i^*} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)) dx,
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfies $2^{k_0-2} \leq C_3 < 2^{k_0-1}$. From (4.12) and (4.13), we deduce (4.10). Then, by (4.10), we know that

$$\sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(b_i)(x)) dx \lesssim \sum_i \int_{Q_i^*} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)) dx \lesssim \int_{\Omega_\lambda} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)) dx.$$

Combining the above inequality with the completeness of $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we conclude that $\sum_i b_i$ converges in $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So by Proposition 3.17, the series $\sum_i b_i$ converges in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and hence

$$\mathcal{G}_N^0\left(\sum_i b_i\right)(x) \leq \sum_i \mathcal{G}_N^0(b_i)(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, which gives (4.11) and hence completes the proof of Lemma 4.4. $\square$

The following Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 are respectively [58, Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8].

Lemma 4.5. *Let $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$. If $f \in L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the series $\sum_i b_i$ converges in $L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists a positive constant C_6 , independent of f , such that*

$$\left\| \sum_i |b_i| \right\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_6 \|f\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Lemma 4.6. *Let integers s and N satisfy $0 \leq s < N$ and $N \geq 2$, $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\lambda > \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)$. If $\sum_i b_i$ converges in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists a positive constant C_7 , independent of f and λ , such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$\mathcal{G}_N^0(g)(x) \leq \mathcal{G}_N^0(f)(x) \chi_{\Omega_\lambda^c}(x) + C_7 \lambda \sum_i \frac{l_i^{n+s+1}}{(l_i + |x - x_i|)^{n+s+1}} \chi_{B(x_i, C_3)}(x),$$

where x_i is the center of Q_i and C_3 as in Lemma 4.3.

Lemma 4.7. *Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5, $q(\varphi)$ and $i(\varphi)$ respectively as in (2.9) and (2.3), $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ and $p_0 \in (0, i(\varphi))$.*

(i) *If integers s and N satisfy $N > s \geq \lfloor n(q(\varphi)/p_0 - 1) \rfloor$ and $f \in h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\mathcal{G}_N^0(g) \in L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists a positive constant C_8 , independent of f and λ , such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\mathcal{G}_N^0(g)(x)]^q \varphi(x, 1) dx \leq C_8 \lambda^q \max\{1/\lambda, 1/\lambda^{p_0}\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)) dx. \tag{4.14}$$

(ii) *If $f \in L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $g \in L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there exists a positive constant C_9 , independent of f and λ , such that $\|g\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_9 \lambda$.*

The proof of Lemma 4.7 is similar to those of [35, Lemma 5.3] and [64, Lemma 4.7]. We omit the details. Moreover, from Lemma 4.7, we deduce the following corollary, whose proof is similar to that of [35, Proposition 5.3]. We omit the details.

Corollary 4.8. *Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5, $q(\varphi)$ as in (2.9), $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ and integer $N \geq N_\varphi$, where N_φ is as in (3.20). Then $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

5 Weighted atomic characterizations of $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this section, we establish the equivalence between $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by using the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition associated with the local grand maximal function stated in Section 4.

Let φ be a growth function, $q(\varphi)$, $i(\varphi)$ and N_φ respectively as in (2.9), (2.3) and (3.20), integer $N \geq N_\varphi$ and $s_0 := \lfloor n[q(\varphi)/i(\varphi) - 1] \rfloor$. Throughout this section, let $f \in h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We take $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$2^{k_0-1} < \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x) \leq 2^{k_0}$$

when $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x) > 0$ and, when $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x) = 0$, let $k_0 := -\infty$. Throughout the whole section, we always assume that $k \geq k_0$. For each integer $k \geq k_0$, consider the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of f of degree s and height $\lambda = 2^k$ associated with $\mathcal{G}_N(f)$. Namely, for any $k \geq k_0$, by taking $\lambda := 2^k$ in (4.1), we now write the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition in (4.7) by

$$f = g^k + \sum_i b_i^k, \quad (5.1)$$

where and in the remainder of this section, we write $\{Q_i\}_i$ in (4.2), $\{\zeta_i\}_i$ in (4.3), $\{P_i\}_i$ in (4.5) and $\{b_i\}_i$ in (4.6), respectively, as $\{Q_i^k\}_i$, $\{\zeta_i^k\}_i$, $\{P_i^k\}_i$ and $\{b_i^k\}_i$. Now, the *center* and the *sidelength* of Q_i^k are respectively denoted by x_i^k and l_i^k . Recall that for all i and k ,

$$\sum_i \zeta_i^k = \chi_{\Omega_{2^k}}, \quad \text{supp}(b_i^k) \subset \text{supp}(\zeta_i^k) \subset Q_i^{k*}, \quad (5.2)$$

$\{Q_i^{k*}\}_i$ has the bounded interior property and, for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle f, P\zeta_i^k \rangle = \langle P_i^k, P\zeta_i^k \rangle. \quad (5.3)$$

Recall that $a := 1 + 2^{-(11+n)}$ and $Q_i^{k*} := aQ_i^k$.

For each integer $k \geq k_0$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $P_{i,j}^{k+1}$ be the *orthogonal projection* of $(f - P_j^{k+1})\zeta_i^k$ on $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|P\|_j^2 := \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \zeta_j^{k+1}(y) dy \right]^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |P(x)|^2 \zeta_j^{k+1}(x) dx,$$

namely, $P_{i,j}^{k+1}$ is the *unique polynomial* of $\mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for any $P \in \mathcal{P}_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle (f - P_j^{k+1})\zeta_i^k, P\zeta_j^{k+1} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_{i,j}^{k+1}(x) P(x) \zeta_j^{k+1}(x) dx. \quad (5.4)$$

In what follows, let $E_1^k := \{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : |Q_i^k| \geq 1/(2^4 n)\}$, $E_2^k := \{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : |Q_i^k| < 1/(2^4 n)\}$,

$$F_1^k := \{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : |Q_i^k| \geq 1\}$$

and $F_2^k := \{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : |Q_i^k| < 1\}$.

Observe that

$$P_{i,j}^{k+1} \neq 0 \text{ if and only if } Q_i^{k*} \cap Q_j^{(k+1)*} \neq \emptyset. \quad (5.5)$$

Indeed, this follows directly from the definition of $P_{i,j}^{k+1}$. The following Lemmas 5.1 through 5.3 are just [58, Lemmas 5.1 through 5.3].

Lemma 5.1. *Let Ω_{2^k} be as in (4.1) with $\lambda = 2^k$, Q_i^{k*} and l_i^k as above.*

- (i) *If $Q_i^{k*} \cap Q_j^{(k+1)*} \neq \emptyset$, then $l_j^{k+1} \leq 2^4 \sqrt{n} l_i^k$ and $Q_j^{(k+1)*} \subset 2^6 n Q_i^{k*} \subset \Omega_{2^k}$.*
- (ii) *There exists a positive integer L such that for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the cardinality of*

$$\left\{ j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : Q_i^{k*} \cap Q_j^{(k+1)*} \neq \emptyset \right\}$$

is bounded by L .

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a positive constant C , independent of f , such that for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and integer $k \geq k_0$ with $l_j^{k+1} \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} |P_{i,j}^{k+1}(y) \zeta_j^{k+1}(y)| \leq C 2^{k+1}. \quad (5.6)$$

Lemma 5.3. *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \geq k_0$,*

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\sum_{j \in F_2^{k+1}} P_{i,j}^{k+1} \zeta_j^{k+1} \right) = 0,$$

where the series converges both in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and pointwise.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $f \in h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $k_0 = -\infty$ and $\Omega_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x) > 2^k\}$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for all $\lambda > \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)$,*

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi\left(\Omega_k, \frac{2^k}{\lambda}\right) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)}{\lambda}\right) dx.$$

The proof of Lemma 5.4 is similar to that of [35, Lemma 5.4]. We omit the details.

Lemma 5.5. *Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5, $q(\varphi)$ and N_φ respectively as in (2.9) and (3.20), integers N and s satisfy $N \geq s \geq N_\varphi$, and $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$. Then*

$$\left[h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \right] \subset h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and the inclusion is continuous.

Proof. Let $f \in (L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n))$. We first consider the case that $k_0 = -\infty$. As above, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, f has a Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of degree s and height $\lambda = 2^k$ associated with $\mathcal{G}_N(f)$ as in (5.1), namely, $f = g^k + \sum_i b_i^k$. By Corollary 4.8 and Proposition 3.2, we have that $g^k \rightarrow f$ in both $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 4.7(i), $\|g^k\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow -\infty$ and, furthermore, by Lemma 2.11(ii), $g^k \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \rightarrow -\infty$. Therefore,

$$f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} (g^{k+1} - g^k) \quad (5.7)$$

in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, since $\text{supp}(\sum_i b_i^k) \subset \Omega_{2^k}$ and $\varphi(\Omega_{2^k}, 1) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then $g^k \rightarrow f$ almost everywhere as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, (5.7) also holds almost everywhere. Similar to [64, (5.10)], from Lemma 5.3 and (5.2) with $\Omega_{2^{k+1}} \subset \Omega_{2^k}$, we deduce that

$$g^{k+1} - g^k = \sum_i \left(b_i^k - \sum_j b_j^{k+1} \zeta_i^k + \sum_{j \in F_2^{k+1}} P_{i,j}^{k+1} \zeta_j^{k+1} \right) =: \sum_i h_i^k, \quad (5.8)$$

where all the series converge in both $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and almost everywhere. Furthermore, by the definitions of b_j^k and b_j^{k+1} as in (4.6), we know that when $l_i^k \in (0, 1)$,

$$h_i^k = f \chi_{\Omega_{2^{k+1}}}^c \zeta_i^k - P_i^k \zeta_i^k + \sum_{j \in F_2^{k+1}} P_j^{k+1} \zeta_i^k \zeta_j^{k+1} + \sum_{j \in F_2^{k+1}} P_{i,j}^{k+1} \zeta_j^{k+1} \quad (5.9)$$

and, when $l_i^k \in [1, \infty)$,

$$h_i^k = f \chi_{\Omega_{2^{k+1}}}^c \zeta_i^k + \sum_{j \in F_2^{k+1}} P_j^{k+1} \zeta_i^k \zeta_j^{k+1} + \sum_{j \in F_2^{k+1}} P_{i,j}^{k+1} \zeta_j^{k+1}. \quad (5.10)$$

From Proposition 3.2(i), we infer that for almost every $x \in \Omega_{2^{k+1}}^{\mathbf{G}}$, $|f(x)| \leq \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x) \leq 2^{k+1}$, which, together with Lemmas 4.1 and 5.1(ii), (5.5), Lemma 5.2, (5.9) and (5.10), implies that there exists a positive constant C_{10} such that for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\|h_i^k\|_{L^\infty_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{10}2^k. \quad (5.11)$$

Now, we show that for each i and k , h_i^k is a multiple of some (φ, ∞, s) -atom by considering the following two cases for i .

Case 1) $i \in E_1^k$. In this case, by the fact that $l_j^{k+1} < 1$ for $j \in F_2^{k+1}$, we see that $Q_j^{(k+1)*} \subset Q(x_i^k, a(l_i^k + 2))$ for j satisfying $Q_i^{k*} \cap Q_j^{(k+1)*} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\gamma := 1 + 2^{-12-n}$. Thus, when $l_i^k \geq 2/(\gamma - 1)$, if letting $\tilde{Q}_i^k := Q(x_i^k, a(l_i^k + 2))$, then, $\text{supp}(h_i^k) \subset \tilde{Q}_i^k \subset \gamma Q_i^{k*} \subset \Omega_{2^k}$. When $l_i^k < 2/(\gamma - 1)$, if letting $\tilde{Q}_i^k := 2^6 n Q_i^{k*}$, then from Lemma 5.1(i), we deduce that $\text{supp}(h_i^k) \subset \tilde{Q}_i^k \subset \Omega_{2^k}$. By this and (5.11), we conclude that h_i^k is a multiple of (φ, ∞, s) -atom. Moreover, from the definition of $\tilde{Q}_i^k$, Lemma 2.9(ii) and Remark 2.10 with $\tilde{C} := 2/(\gamma - 1)$, we deduce that there exists a positive constant C_{11} such that for all $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\varphi(\tilde{Q}_i^k, t) \leq C_{11}\varphi(Q_i^{k*}, t). \quad (5.12)$$

Case 2) $i \in E_2^k$. In this case, if $j \in F_1^{k+1}$, then $l_i^k < l_j^{k+1}/(2^4 n)$. By Lemma 5.1(i), we know that $Q_i^{k*} \cap Q_j^{(k+1)*} = \emptyset$ for $j \in F_1^{k+1}$. From this, (5.2) and (5.8), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} h_i^k &= (f - P_i^k)\zeta_i^k - \sum_{j \in F_1^{k+1}} f\zeta_j^{k+1}\zeta_i^k - \sum_{j \in F_2^{k+1}} (f - P_j^{k+1})\zeta_j^{k+1}\zeta_i^k + \sum_{j \in F_2^{k+1}} P_{i,j}^{k+1}\zeta_j^{k+1} \\ &= (f - P_i^k)\zeta_i^k - \sum_{j \in F_2^{k+1}} \{(f - P_j^{k+1})\zeta_j^{k+1}\zeta_i^k - P_{i,j}^{k+1}\zeta_j^{k+1}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Let $\tilde{Q}_i^k := 2^6 n Q_i^{k*}$. Then $\text{supp}(h_i^k) \subset \tilde{Q}_i^k$. Moreover, h_i^k satisfies the desired moment conditions, which are deduced from the moment conditions of $(f - P_i^k)\zeta_i^k$ (see (5.3)) and $(f - P_j^{k+1})\zeta_j^{k+1}\zeta_i^k - P_{i,j}^{k+1}\zeta_j^{k+1}$ (see (5.4)). Thus, h_i^k is a multiple of some (φ, ∞, s) -atom. Moreover, similar to the proof of (5.12), we see that (5.12) also holds in this case.

By (5.11), (5.12) and Lemma 5.4, we know that for all $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i \varphi\left(\tilde{Q}_i^k, \frac{\|h_i^k\|_{L^\infty_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\lambda}\right) &\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i \varphi\left(Q_i^{k*}, \frac{\|h_i^k\|_{L^\infty_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\lambda}\right) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi\left(\Omega_k, \frac{2^k}{\lambda}\right) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)}{\lambda}\right) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

which implies that $f \in h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{h_{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Finally, we consider the case that $k_0 > -\infty$. In this case, from $f \in h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, t) < \infty$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Adapting the previous arguments, we see that

$$f = \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} (g^{k+1} - g^k) + g^{k_0} =: \tilde{f} + g^{k_0} \quad (5.15)$$

and, for the function $\tilde{f}$, we have a (φ, ∞, s) -atomic decomposition same as above, namely,

$$\tilde{f} = \sum_{k \geq k_0, i} h_i^k \quad (5.16)$$

and

$$\Lambda_\infty(\{h_i^k\}_{k \geq k_0, i}) \lesssim \|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (5.17)$$

By Lemma 4.7(ii), we know that

$$\|g^{k_0}\|_{L^\infty_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_9 2^{k_0} \leq 2C_9 \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x) \quad (5.18)$$

and hence, by the nondecreasing property on t and the uniformly upper type 1 property of φ , we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \left(x, \frac{\|g^{k_0}\|_{L^\infty_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\lambda} \right) dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \left(x, \frac{2^{k_0}}{\lambda} \right) dx \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi \left(x, \frac{\mathcal{G}_N(f)(x)}{\lambda} \right) dx, \quad (5.19)$$

where C_9 is the same as in Lemma 4.7(ii). Thus, we see that g^{k_0} is a multiple of some (φ, ∞) -single-atom. From (5.15), (5.16), (5.17) and (5.19), it follows that $f \in h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{h_{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ in the case that $k_0 > -\infty$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 5.4. $\square$

Remark 5.6. By its proof, any multiple of (φ, ∞, s) -atoms in Lemma 5.5 can be taken to have supports Q satisfying $l(Q) \in (0, 2]$. Indeed, for any multiple of some (φ, ∞, s) -atom b supported in a cube Q_0 with $l(Q_0) > 2$, we see that there exist $N_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, depending on $l(Q_0)$ and n , and cubes $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^{N_0}$ satisfying $l(Q_i) \in [1, 2]$ with $i \in \{1, \dots, N_0\}$ such that $\cup_{i=1}^{N_0} Q_i = Q_0$,

$$1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \chi_{Q_i}(x) \leq C(n) \quad (5.20)$$

for all $x \in Q_0$, and $b = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \chi_{Q_j}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} b \chi_{Q_i}$, where $C(n)$ is a positive integer, only depending on n . For $i \in \{1, \dots, N_0\}$, let $b_i := \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \chi_{Q_j}} b \chi_{Q_i}$. Then $\text{supp}(b_i) \subset Q_i$. Moreover, from $b \in L^\infty_\varphi(Q_0)$, we deduce that $b_i \in L^\infty_\varphi(Q_i)$. Thus, for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N_0\}$, b_i is a multiple of some (φ, ∞, s) -atom supported in the cube Q_i and $b = \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} b_i$. By the definition of b_i , $\cup_{i=1}^{N_0} Q_i = Q_0$ and (5.20), we know that for any $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \varphi \left(Q_i, \frac{\|b_i\|_{L^\infty_\varphi(Q_i)}}{\lambda} \right) \lesssim \varphi \left(Q_0, \frac{\|b\|_{L^\infty_\varphi(Q_0)}}{\lambda} \right).$$

Thus, by the proof of Lemma 5.4, we see that the claim holds.

Now, we state the weighted atomic decompositions of $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows.

Theorem 5.7. Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5, $q(\varphi)$, $m(\varphi)$ and N_φ respectively as in (2.9), (2.10) and (3.20). If $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty]$, integers s and N satisfy $N \geq N_\varphi$ and $N > s \geq m(\varphi)$, then

$$h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) = h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n) = h_{\varphi, N_\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with equivalent norms.

Proof. By Theorem 3.19 and the definitions of $h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that

$$h^{\varphi, \infty, s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset h_{\varphi, N_\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset h_{\varphi, N_1}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where the integers s_1 and N_1 are respectively not less than s and N , and the inclusions are continuous. Thus, to prove Theorem 5.7, it suffices to prove that for any integers N, s satisfying $N > s \geq m(\varphi)$, $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, for all $f \in h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|f\|_{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Let $f \in h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From Corollary 4.8, we deduce that there exists a sequence of functions,

$$\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

such that for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\|f_m\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 2^{-m} \|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (5.21)$$

and $f = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} f_m$ in $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Lemma 5.5, we know that for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, f_m has an atomic decomposition $f_m = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} h_i^m$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\Lambda_q(\{h_i^m\}_i) \lesssim \|f_m\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, where $\{h_i^m\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a sequence of multiples of (φ, ∞, s) -atoms and h_0^m is a multiple of some (φ, ∞) -single-atom.

Let $h_0 := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h_0^m$. Then h_0 is a multiple of some (φ, ∞) -single-atom and

$$f = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} h_i^m + h_0.$$

Take $p_0 \in (0, i(\varphi))$. Then φ is of uniformly lower type p_0 . From this, Lemma 2.6(i) and (5.21), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi \left(Q_{m,i}, \frac{\|h_i^m\|_{L_{\varphi}^{\infty}(Q_{m,i})}}{\|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right) + \varphi \left(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\|h_0\|_{L_{\varphi}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right) \\ & \lesssim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi \left(Q_{m,i}, \frac{\|h_i^m\|_{L_{\varphi}^{\infty}(Q_{m,i})}}{2^m \|f_m\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varphi \left(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\|h_0^m\|_{L_{\varphi}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{2^m \|f_m\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \right) \\ & \lesssim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{-mp_0} \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

where for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\text{supp}(h_i^m) \subset Q_{m,i}$, which implies that $f \in h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.7. $\square$

Remark 5.8. Theorem 5.7 completely covers [64, Theorem 5.6] by taking φ as in (1.1).

For simplicity, from now on, we denote by $h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space $h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $N \geq N_{\varphi}$.

6 Spaces of finite weighted atoms

In this section, we prove the existence of finite atomic decompositions achieving the norm in some dense subspaces of $h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This extends the main results in [40, 41, 66] to the setting of the local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space. As applications, we obtain that for a given admissible triplet (φ, q, s) and a β -quasi-Banach space $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}$ with $\beta \in (0, 1]$, if T is a $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}$ -sublinear operator, then T uniquely extends to a bounded $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}$ -sublinear operator from $h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}$ if and only if T maps all (φ, q, s) -atoms and (φ, q) -single-atoms with $q < \infty$ (or all continuous (φ, q, s) -atoms with $q = \infty$) into uniformly bounded elements of $\mathcal{B}_{\beta}$.

Definition 6.1. Let (φ, q, s) be admissible as in Definition 3.4. The space $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the vector space of all finite linear combinations of (φ, q, s) -atoms or (φ, q) -single-atoms, and the norm of f in $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\|f\|_{h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left\{ \Lambda_q(\{h_i\}_{i=0}^k) : f = \sum_{i=0}^k h_i, k \in \mathbb{N}, h_i \text{ is a multiple of a } (\varphi, q, s)\text{-atom or a } (\varphi, q)\text{-single-atom} \right\}.$$

Obviously, for any admissible triplet (φ, q, s) , $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Similar to the notion of the uniformly locally dominated convergence condition introduced in [35], we introduce the following notion of the uniformly locally q -dominated convergence condition with $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$.

Definition 6.2. Let $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$. A growth function φ is said to satisfy *uniformly locally q -dominated convergence condition* if the following holds:

Let K be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\{f_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ a sequence of measurable functions such that $f_m(x)$ tends to $f(x)$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If there exists a nonnegative measurable function g such that $|f_m(x)| \leq g(x)$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left[\int_K \varphi(y, t) dy \right]^{-1} \int_K |g(x)|^q \varphi(x, t) dx < \infty$$

when $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) = \infty$, or

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(y, t) dy \right]^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)|^q \varphi(x, t) dx < \infty$$

when $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) < \infty$, then

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left[\int_K \varphi(y, t) dy \right]^{-1} \int_K |f_m(x) - f(x)|^q \varphi(x, t) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad (6.1)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ when $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) = \infty$, or (6.1) is true with K replaced by $\mathbb{R}^n$ when $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) < \infty$.

We point out that the growth functions $\varphi(x, t) := \omega(x)\Phi(t)$, with $\omega \in A_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Φ being an Orlicz function, or

$$\varphi(x, t) := \frac{t^p}{[\log(e + |x|) + \log(e + t^p)]^p}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, with $p \in (0, 1]$, satisfy the uniformly locally q -dominated convergence condition with $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$; see [35]. In what follows, let $h_{\text{fin}, c}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the set of all $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support.

Theorem 6.3. Let $q(\varphi)$ be as in (2.9) and (φ, q, s) admissible.

- (i) If $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ and φ satisfies the uniformly locally q -dominated convergence condition, then $\|\cdot\|_{h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ are equivalent quasi-norms on $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (ii) The quasi-norms $\|\cdot\|_{h_{\text{fin}, c}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ are equivalent on $h_{\text{fin}, c}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. We first show (i). Let $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ and (φ, q, s) be admissible. Obviously, from Theorem 5.7, we deduce that $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) = h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and, for all $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|f\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Thus, to prove (i), we only need to show that for all $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|f\|_{h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (6.2)$$

Moreover, by homogeneity, without loss of generality, we may assume that $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|f\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. In the remainder of this section, for any $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let k_0 be as in Section 5 and Ω_{2^k} with $k \geq k_0$ as in (4.1) with $\lambda = 2^k$. Since $f \in (h_{\varphi, N}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n))$, by Lemma 5.5, there exist a multiple of some (φ, ∞) -single-atom h_0 and a sequence $\{h_i^k\}_{k \geq k_0, i}$ of multiples of (φ, ∞, s) -atoms such that

$$f = \sum_{k \geq k_0} \sum_i h_i^k + h_0 \quad (6.3)$$

holds both in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and almost everywhere. First, we claim that (6.3) also holds in $L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by $\mathbb{R}^n = \cup_{k \geq k_0-1} (\Omega_{2^k} \setminus \Omega_{2^{k+1}})$, we see that there exists $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x \in (\Omega_{2^j} \setminus \Omega_{2^{j+1}})$. By the proof of Lemma 5.5, we know that for all $k > j$, $\text{supp}(h_i^k) \subset \tilde{Q}_i^k \subset \Omega_{2^k} \subset \Omega_{2^{j+1}}$; then from (5.11) and (5.18), it follows that

$$\left| \sum_{k \geq k_0} \sum_i h_i^k(x) \right| + |h_0(x)| \lesssim \sum_{k_0 \leq k \leq j} 2^k + 2^{k_0} \lesssim 2^j \lesssim \mathcal{G}_N(f)(x).$$

Since $f \in L^q_{\varphi(\cdot,1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Proposition 3.2(ii), we know that $\mathcal{G}_N(f) \in L^q_{\varphi(\cdot,1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This, combined with the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, implies that $\sum_{k \geq k_0} \sum_i h_i^k + h_0$ converges to f in $L^q_{\varphi(\cdot,1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which proves the claim.

Now, we show (6.2) by considering the following two cases for φ .

Case 1) $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) = \infty$. In this case, we know that $k_0 = -\infty$ and $h_0(x) = 0$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, in this case, (6.3) has the version $f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i h_i^k$. Since, when $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) = \infty$, all (φ, q) -single-atoms are 0, and hence if $f \in h^{\varphi, q, s}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then f has compact support. Assume that $\text{supp } f \subset Q_0 := Q(x_0, r_0)$ and let $\tilde{Q}_0 := Q(x_0, \sqrt{n}r_0 + 2^{3(10+n)+1})$. Then for any $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \tilde{Q}_0$ and $t \in (0, 1)$, we see that

$$\psi_t * f(x) = \int_{Q(x_0, r_0)} \psi_t(x-y)f(y) dy = \int_{B(x, 2^{3(10+n)}) \cap Q(x_0, r_0)} \psi_t(x-y)f(y) dy = 0.$$

Thus, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\Omega_{2^k} \subset \tilde{Q}_0$, which implies that $\text{supp}(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_i h_i^k) \subset \tilde{Q}_0$. For each positive integer M , let

$$F_M := \{(i, k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq k_0, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, |k| + i \leq M\}$$

and $f_M := \sum_{(k,i) \in F_M} h_i^k$. Then, from the above claim, we deduce that f_M converges to f in $L^q_{\varphi(\cdot,1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, by $f \in h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we see that there exist $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a sequence $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of multiples of some (φ, q, s) -atoms such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^N h_i$ almost everywhere. Let $g := \sum_{i=1}^N |h_i|$. It is easy to see that for any compact set K of $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left\{ \left[\int_K \varphi(y, t) dy \right]^{-1} \int_K |g(x)|^q \varphi(x, t) dx \right\} < \infty.$$

Then from the assumption that φ satisfies the uniformly locally q -dominated convergence condition, we infer that

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left\{ \left[\int_K \varphi(y, t) dy \right]^{-1} \int_K |f_M(x) - f(x)|^q \varphi(x, t) dx \right\} \rightarrow 0$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, there exists $M_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $f - f_{M_0}$ is a multiple of some (φ, q, s) -atom and $\varphi(\tilde{Q}_0, \|f - f_{M_0}\|_{L^q_{\varphi}(\tilde{Q}_0)}) \lesssim 1$. By this and Lemma 5.5, we see that

$$\|f\|_{h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \Lambda_q(\{h_i^k\}_{(k,i) \in F_{M_0}}) + \Lambda_q(\{f - f_{M_0}\}) \lesssim 1,$$

which implies (6.2) in Case 1).

Case 2) $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) < \infty$. In this case, f may not have compact support. For any positive integer M , let $f_M := \sum_{(k,i) \in F_M} h_i^k + h_0$ and $b_M := f - f_M$, where F_M is as in Case 1). Similar to the proof of Case 1), there exists a positive integer $M_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ large enough such that b_{M_1} is a multiple of some (φ, q) -single-atom and $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, \|b_{M_1}\|_{L^q_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \lesssim 1$. Thus, $f = f_{M_1} + b_{M_1}$ is a finite linear atom combination of f and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{h^{\varphi, q, s}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \Lambda_q(\{h_i^k\}_{(i,k) \in F_{M_1}}) + \Lambda_q(\{b_{M_1}\}) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{h^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \varphi\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\|b_{M_1}\|_{L^q_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\} \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (6.2) in Case 2). This finishes the proof of (i).

We now prove (ii). In this case, similar to the proof of (i), we only need to prove that for all $f \in h^{\varphi, \infty, s}_{\text{fin}, c}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|f\|_{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Again, by homogeneity, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|f\|_{h_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. Since f has compact support, by the definition of $\mathcal{G}_N(f)$, we know that $\mathcal{G}_N(f)$ also has compact support. Assume that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{G}_N(f)) \subset B(0, R_0)$ for some $R_0 \in (0, \infty)$. From $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we deduce that $\mathcal{G}_N(f) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\Omega_{2^k} = \emptyset$ for any

$k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \geq k_1 + 1$. By Lemma 5.5, there exist a multiple of some (φ, ∞) -sing-atom h_0 and a sequence $\{h_i^k\}_{k_1 \geq k \geq k_0, i}$ of multiples of (φ, ∞, s) -atoms such that $f = \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_1} \sum_i h_i^k + h_0$ holds both in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and almost everywhere. From the fact that f is uniformly continuous, it follows that for any given $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, there exists a $\delta \in (0, \infty)$ such that if $|x - y| < \sqrt{n}\delta/2$, then $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\delta < 1$. Write $f = f_1^\varepsilon + f_2^\varepsilon$ with $f_1^\varepsilon := \sum_{(i,k) \in G_1} h_i^k + h_0$ and $f_2^\varepsilon := \sum_{(i,k) \in G_2} h_i^k$, where

$$G_1 := \left\{ (i, k) : l(\tilde{Q}_i^k) \geq \delta, k_0 \leq k \leq k_1 \right\},$$

$G_2 := \{(i, k) : l(\tilde{Q}_i^k) < \delta, k_0 \leq k \leq k_1\}$, and $\tilde{Q}_i^k$ is the support of h_i^k (see the proof of Lemma 5.5). For any fixed integer $k \in [k_0, k_1]$, by Lemma 5.1(ii) and $\Omega_{2^k} \subset B(0, R_0)$, we see that G_1 is a finite set.

For f_2^ε , similar to the proof of [64, pp.44-45], we know that $|f_2^\varepsilon| \lesssim \sum_{k=k_0}^{k_1} \varepsilon \lesssim (k_1 - k_0)\varepsilon$. By the arbitrariness of ε , $\text{supp}(f_2^\varepsilon) \subset B(0, R_0)$ and $|f_2^\varepsilon| \lesssim (k_1 - k_0)\varepsilon$, we choose ε small enough such that f_2^ε is an arbitrarily small multiple of some (φ, ∞, s) -atom. In particular, we choose $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(B(0, R_0), \|f_2^{\varepsilon_0}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \lesssim 1$. Then $f = \sum_{(i,k) \in G_1} h_i^k + h_0 + f_2^{\varepsilon_0}$ is a finite atomic decomposition of f , and $\|f\|_{h_\varphi, \infty, s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} + 1 \lesssim 1$, which completes the proof of Theorem 6.3. $\square$

Remark 6.4. (i) Let $q(\varphi)$ be as in (2.9) and (φ, q, s) admissible with $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$. From the proof of Lemma 5.5, we infer that $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, which, together with Corollary 4.8, implies that $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

(ii) Obviously, when $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) = \infty$,

$$h_{\text{fin}, c}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n) = h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

As an application of Theorem 6.3, we establish the boundedness on $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of quasi-Banach-valued sublinear operators.

Recall that a *quasi-Banach space* $\mathcal{B}$ is a vector space endowed with a quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ which is nonnegative, non-degenerate (namely, $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$), homogeneous, and obeys the quasi-triangle inequality, namely, there exists a positive constant $K \in [1, \infty)$ such that for all $f, g \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$\|f + g\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq K(\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} + \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}}).$$

Let $\beta \in (0, 1]$. As in [35, 65, 66], a *quasi-Banach space* $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ with the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}$ is called a β -*quasi-Banach space* if there exists a positive constant $\kappa \in [1, \infty)$ such that for all $f_j \in \mathcal{B}_\beta$ with $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m f_j \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}^\beta \leq \kappa \sum_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}^\beta.$$

Notice that any Banach space is a 1-quasi-Banach space, and the quasi-Banach spaces l^β and $L_\omega^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\omega \in A_\infty^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are typical β -quasi-Banach spaces. Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5 with the uniformly lower type $p_0 \in (0, 1]$. We know that $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a p_0 -quasi-Banach space.

For any given β -quasi-Banach space $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ with $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and a linear space $\mathcal{Y}$, an operator T from $\mathcal{Y}$ to $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ is called $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -*sublinear* if there exists a positive constant $\kappa \in [1, \infty)$ such that for all $f_j \in \mathcal{B}_\beta$ and $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ with $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$,

$$\left\| T \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j f_j \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}^\beta \leq \kappa \sum_{j=1}^m |\lambda_j|^\beta \|T(f_j)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}^\beta,$$

and $\|T(f) - T(g)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta} \leq \kappa \|T(f - g)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{Y}$ (see [65, 66]).

We remark that if T is linear, then T is $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear. Moreover, if $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ is a space of functions, and T is nonnegative and sublinear in the classical sense, then T is also $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear.

Theorem 6.5. Let $q(\varphi)$ be as in (2.9) and (φ, q, s) admissible. Let $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ be a β -quasi-Banach space with $\beta \in (0, 1]$ and $\tilde{p}$ a uniformly upper type of φ satisfying $\tilde{p} \in (0, \beta]$.

(i) Let $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$, φ satisfy the uniformly locally q -dominated convergence condition and $T : h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\beta$ be a $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear operator. Then T uniquely extends to a bounded $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear operator from $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ if and only if

$$S := \sup \left\{ \|T(a)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta} : a \text{ is any } (\varphi, q, s)\text{-atom with } \text{supp}(a) \subset Q \right. \\ \left. \text{or any } (\varphi, q)\text{-single-atom} \right\} < \infty.$$

(ii) Let φ satisfy the uniformly locally q_0 -dominated convergence condition for some $q_0 \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ and T be a $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear operator defined on all continuous (φ, ∞, s) -atoms. Then T uniquely extends to a bounded $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear operator from $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ if and only if

$$S := \sup \{ \|T(a)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta} : a \text{ is any continuous } (\varphi, \infty, s)\text{-atom} \} < \infty.$$

Proof. We first show (i). Obviously, it suffices to show that when $S < \infty$, T uniquely extends to a bounded $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear operator from $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{B}_\beta$. By Theorem 6.3(i) and Remark 3.6, without loss of generality, we may assume that for any $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exist a sequence $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=0}^l \subset \mathbb{C}$ with some $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, a (φ, q) -single-atom a_0 and (φ, q, s) -atoms $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^l$ satisfying $\text{supp}(a_j) \subset Q_j$ for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$ such that $f = \sum_{j=0}^l \lambda_j a_j$ almost everywhere and

$$\Lambda_q(\{\lambda_j a_j\}_{j=0}^l) = \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \sum_{j=1}^l \varphi \left(Q_j, \frac{|\lambda_j| \|\chi_{Q_j}\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}}{\lambda} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \varphi \left(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{|\lambda_0| \|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \lesssim \|f\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (6.4)$$

Then from $S < \infty$ and the assumption that T is $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear, we infer that

$$\|T(f)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta} \lesssim \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^l |\lambda_i|^\beta \|T(a_i)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}^\beta \right\}^{1/\beta} \lesssim \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^l |\lambda_i|^{\tilde{p}} \|T(a_i)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta}^{\tilde{p}} \right\}^{1/\tilde{p}} \lesssim \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^l |\lambda_i|^{\tilde{p}} \right\}^{1/\tilde{p}}. \quad (6.5)$$

Since φ is of uniformly upper type $\tilde{p}$, then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in (0, 1]$ and $s \in (0, \infty)$, we know that $\varphi(x, st) \gtrsim t^{\tilde{p}} \varphi(x, s)$. Let $\tilde{\lambda}_0 := \{\sum_{i=0}^l |\lambda_i|^{\tilde{p}}\}^{1/\tilde{p}}$. Then,

$$\sum_{i=0}^l \varphi \left(Q_i, \frac{|\lambda_i| \|\chi_{Q_i}\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}}{\tilde{\lambda}_0} \right) + \varphi \left(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{|\lambda_0| \|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}}{\tilde{\lambda}_0} \right) \\ \gtrsim \left[\sum_{j=0}^l |\lambda_j|^{\tilde{p}} \right]^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^l |\lambda_i|^{\tilde{p}} \varphi \left(Q_i, \|\chi_{Q_i}\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} \right) \right. \\ \left. + |\lambda_0|^{\tilde{p}} \varphi \left(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} \right) \right] \sim 1.$$

Thus, from this, we deduce that $\tilde{\lambda}_0 \lesssim \Lambda_q(\{\lambda_i a_i\}_{i=0}^l)$, which, together with (6.4) and (6.5), implies that

$$\|T(f)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta} \lesssim \tilde{\lambda}_0 \lesssim \Lambda_q(\{\lambda_i a_i\}_{i=0}^l) \lesssim \|f\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By Remark 6.4(i), we know that $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, q, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which, together with a density argument, implies the desired conclusion in this case.

Now, we prove (ii). Similar to the proof of (i), it suffices to show that when $S < \infty$, T uniquely extends to a bounded $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ -sublinear operator from $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{B}_\beta$. We prove this by considering the following two cases for φ .

Case 1) $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) = \infty$. In this case, similar to the proof of (i), using Theorem 6.3(ii) and Remark 6.4(ii), we know that for all $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|T(f)\|_{\mathcal{B}_\beta} \lesssim \|f\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. To extend T to the whole $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we only need to prove that $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it suffices to prove that $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

To see this, let $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this case, for any (φ, ∞) -single-atom b , $b(x) = 0$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, f is a finite linear combination of (φ, ∞, s) -atoms. Then there exists a cube $Q_0 := Q(x_0, r_0)$ such that $\text{supp}(f) \subset Q_0$. Take $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\text{supp} \phi \subset Q(0, 1)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx = 1$. Then it is easy to see that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\text{supp}(\phi_k * f) \subset Q(x_0, r_0 + 1)$ and $\phi_k * f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume that $f = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i a_i$ with some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^N$ being (φ, ∞, s) -atoms. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\phi_k * f = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \phi_k * a_i$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, we now prove that $\phi_k * a_i$ is a multiple of some continuous (φ, ∞, s) -atom, which implies that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\phi_k * f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (6.6)$$

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, assume that

$$\text{supp}(a_i) \subset Q_i := Q(x_i, r_i).$$

Then $\text{supp}(\phi_k * a_i) \subset \tilde{Q}_{i,k} := Q(x_i, r_i + 1/2^k)$. Moreover,

$$\|\phi_k * a_i\|_{L_\varphi^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|a_i\|_{L_\varphi^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\chi_{Q_i}\|_{L_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_i(x) x^\alpha dx = 0$ implies that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_k * a_i(x) x^\alpha dx = 0$. Thus,

$$\frac{\|\chi_{Q_i}\|_{L_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|\chi_{\tilde{Q}_{i,k}}\|_{L_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \phi_k * a_i$$

is a (φ, ∞, s) -atom.

Likewise, $\text{supp}(f - \phi_k * f) \subset Q(x_0, r_0 + 1)$ and $f - \phi_k * f$ has the same vanishing moments as f . By the assumption that φ satisfies the uniformly locally q_0 -dominated convergence condition, we know that for any compact set K of $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} \left\{ \left[\int_K \varphi(y, t) dy \right]^{-1} \int_K |f(x) - \phi_k * f(x)|^{q_0} \varphi(x, t) dx \right\} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$c_k := \sup_{t > 0} \left\{ \left[\int_{Q(x_0, r_0+1)} \varphi(y, t) dy \right]^{-1} \int_{Q(x_0, r_0+1)} |f(x) - \phi_k * f(x)|^{q_0} \varphi(x, t) dx \right\}^{1/q_0} \|\chi_{Q(x_0, r_0+1)}\|_{L_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and $a_k := (f - \phi_k * f)/c_k$. Then a_k is a (φ, q_0, s) -atom, $f - \phi_k * f = c_k a_k$ and $|c_k| \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \phi_k * f\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \Lambda_q(\{c_k a_k\}) \\ &= \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \varphi \left(Q(x_0, r_0 + 1), \frac{\|f - \phi_k * f\|_{L_\varphi^{q_0}(Q(x_0, r_0+1))}}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\lesssim |c_k| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which, together with (6.6), shows the desired conclusion in this case.

Case 2) $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) < \infty$. In this case, similar to the proof of Case 1), by Theorem 6.3(ii), it suffices to prove that $h_{\text{fin}, c}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

For any $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Remark 3.6, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \lambda_i a_i + \lambda_0 a_0,$$

where $N_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=0}^{N_1} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and a_0 is a (φ, ∞) -single-atom and $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{N_1}$ are (φ, ∞, s) -atoms. Let $\{\psi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $0 \leq \psi_k \leq 1$, $\psi_k \equiv 1$ on the cube $Q(0, 2^k)$ and $\text{supp } \psi_k \subset Q(0, 2^{k+1})$. We assume that $\text{supp } (\sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \lambda_i a_i) \subset Q(0, R_0)$ for some $R_0 \in (0, \infty)$ and k_0 is the smallest nonnegative integer such that $2^{k_0} \geq R_0$. For any integer $k \geq k_0$, let $f_k := f \psi_k$. Then $f_k \in h_{\text{fin}, c}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, by the choice of ψ_k , we know that

$$f_k = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \lambda_i a_i + \lambda_0 a_0 \psi_k$$

and $\text{supp } f_k \subset Q(0, 2^{k+1})$. Furthermore, from $\text{supp } (a_0 \psi_k) \subset Q(0, 2^{k+1})$ and

$$\|a_0 \psi_k\|_{L_\varphi^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|a_0\|_{L_\varphi^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|_{L_\varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} \leq \|\chi_{Q(0, 2^{k+1})}\|_{L_\varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1},$$

we infer that $a_0 \psi_k$ is a (φ, ∞, s) -atom. Thus, $f_k \in h_{\text{fin}, c}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any fixed integer $k \geq k_0$ and any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $\tilde{f}_{k, i} := f_k * \phi_i$, where ϕ is as in Case 1). Similar to the proof of (6.6), we know that $\tilde{f}_{k, i} \in h_{\text{fin}, c}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$, by the choice of f_k and $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) < \infty$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_k\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \left\{ \int_{[Q(0, 2^k)]^c} |f(x)|^q \varphi(x, 1) dx \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\leq \|\lambda_0 a_0\|_{L_\varphi^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\{ \int_{[Q(0, 2^k)]^c} \varphi(x, 1) dx \right\}^{1/q} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \geq k_0$, similar to the proof of (6.8), we see that $\|f_k - \tilde{f}_{k, i}\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, which, together with (6.8), implies that $\|f - \tilde{f}_{k, i}\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0$, as $k, i \rightarrow \infty$. Then similar to the proof of (6.7), we know that $\|f - \tilde{f}_{k, i}\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0$, as $k, i \rightarrow \infty$, which completes the proof of Case 2) and hence Theorem 6.5. $\square$

Remark 6.6. Theorems 6.3 and 6.5 completely cover [64, Theorem 6.2] and [64, Theorem 6.4], respectively, by taking φ as in (1.1).

7 The dual space of $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with applications to pointwise multipliers on local BMO-type spaces

In Subsection 7.1, we give out the dual space, $\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, of $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. As an application, in Subsection 7.2, we characterize the class of pointwise multipliers for the local BMO-type space $\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the local BMO-type space introduced by Nakai and Yabuta [45].

7.1 The dual space of $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In this subsection, we introduce the BMO-type space $\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and show that the dual space of $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We begin with some notions.

For any locally integrable function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we denote the *minimizing polynomial* of f on the cube Q with degree at most s by $P_Q^s f$, namely, for all multi-indices $\theta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $0 \leq |\theta| \leq s$,

$$\int_Q [f(x) - P_Q^s f(x)] x^\theta dx = 0. \quad (7.1)$$

It is well known that if f is locally integrable, then $P_Q^s f$ uniquely exists (see, for example, [57]). Now, we introduce the BMO-type space $\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows.

Definition 7.1. Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5 and $m(\varphi)$ as in (2.10). Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \geq m(\varphi)$. When $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) = \infty$, a locally integrable function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to belong to the space $\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if

$$\|f\|_{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n, |Q| < 1} \frac{1}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \int_Q |f(x) - P_Q^s f(x)| dx \\ + \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n, |Q| \geq 1} \frac{1}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \int_Q |f(x)| dx < \infty,$$

where the supremums are taken over all the cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with the indicated properties, and $P_Q^s f$ as in (7.1). When $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) < \infty$, a function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to belong to the space $\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if

$$\|f\|_{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n, |Q| < 1} \frac{1}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \int_Q |f(x) - P_Q^s f(x)| dx \\ + \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n, |Q| \geq 1} \frac{1}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \int_Q |f(x)| dx + \frac{1}{\|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| dx < \infty,$$

where the supremums are taken over all the cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with the indicated properties, and $P_Q^s f$ as in (7.1).

When $\varphi(x, t) := t$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$, $\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is just $\text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ introduced in [20]; when φ is as in (1.1), $\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is just $\text{bmo}_{\rho, \omega}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ introduced in [64].

Now, we show that the dual space of $h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We first recall the notion of the *atomic Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space* $H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which, when $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$, was introduced by Ky [35].

Definition 7.2. Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5 and $m(\varphi)$ as in (2.10). Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \geq m(\varphi)$. A measurable function a on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called an $H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -atom if there exists a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

- (i) $\text{supp}(a) \subset Q$;
- (ii) $\|a\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq \|\chi_Q\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1}$;
- (iii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x) x^\alpha dx = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| \leq s$.

The *atomic Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space* $H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the fact that $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty b_i$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of multiples of $H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -atoms with $\text{supp}(b_i) \subset Q_i$, and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \varphi(Q_i, \|b_i\|_{L^\infty(Q_i)}) < \infty$. Moreover, letting

$$\Lambda_\infty(\{b_i\}_i) := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in (0, \infty) : \sum_{i=1}^\infty \varphi \left(Q_i, \frac{\|b_i\|_{L^\infty(Q_i)}}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\},$$

the *quasi-norm* of $f \in H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \inf \left\{ \Lambda_\infty(\{b_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+}) \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the decompositions of f as above.

Furthermore, the *space* $H_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all finite linear combinations of $H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -atoms.

Obviously, $H_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

The following $\text{BMO}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, when $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$, was introduced by Ky [35].

Definition 7.3. Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5 and $m(\varphi)$ as in (2.10). Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \geq m(\varphi)$. A locally integrable function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to belong to the space $\text{BMO}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \int_Q |f(x) - P_Q^s f(x)| dx < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $P_Q^s f$ as in (7.1).

The next Lemma 7.4, when $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$, is just [35, Theorem 3.2], whose proof for its current version is similar to that. We omit the details.

Lemma 7.4. *Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5 and $m(\varphi)$ as in (2.10). Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \geq m(\varphi)$. Then the dual space of $H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $[H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$, coincides with $\text{BMO}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the following sense.*

(i) *Let $g \in \text{BMO}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the linear functional L , which is initially defined on $H_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by*

$$L(f) = \langle g, f \rangle, \quad (7.2)$$

has a unique extension to $H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|L\|_{[H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*} \leq C\|g\|_{\text{BMO}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, where C is a positive constant independent of g .

(ii) *Conversely, for any $L \in [H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$, there exists $g \in \text{BMO}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that (7.2) holds for all $f \in H_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|g\|_{\text{BMO}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|L\|_{[H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}$, where C is a positive constant independent of L .*

Now, we show that the dual space of $h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by invoking Lemma 7.4.

Theorem 7.5. *Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5 and $m(\varphi)$ as in (2.10). Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $s \geq m(\varphi)$. Then the dual space of $h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$, coincides with $\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the following sense.*

(i) *Let $g \in \text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then the linear functional L , which is initially defined on $h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by*

$$L(f) = \langle g, f \rangle, \quad (7.3)$$

has a unique extension to $h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|L\|_{[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*} \leq C\|g\|_{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, where C is a positive constant independent of g .

(ii) *Conversely, for any $L \in [h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$, there exists $g \in \text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that (7.3) holds for all $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|g\|_{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|L\|_{[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}$, where C is a positive constant independent of L .*

Proof. The proof of (i) is similar to that of [35, Theorem 3.2(i)]. We omit the details.

Now, we prove (ii) by considering the following two cases for φ .

Case 1) $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) = \infty$. In this case, take a sequence $\{Q_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of cubes such that for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $Q_j \subset Q_{j+1}$, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Q_j = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $l(Q_1) \in [1, \infty)$. Assume that $L \in [h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$. Similar to the proof of [64, (7.16)], we know that there exists a function g on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that for all $f \in L_\varphi^\infty(Q_j)$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$Lf = \int_{Q_j} f(x)g(x) dx. \quad (7.4)$$

Now, we show that $g \in \text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for all $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$Lf = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx. \quad (7.5)$$

Indeed, since $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) = \infty$, all (φ, ∞) -single-atoms are 0 and, for any (φ, ∞, s) -atom b , there exists a $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $b \in L_\varphi^\infty(Q_{j_0})$. By this and the fact that (7.4) holds for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we see that (7.5) holds.

Next, we prove that $g \in \text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Take any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $l(Q) \in [1, \infty)$ as well as any $f \in L_\varphi^\infty(Q)$ with $\|f\|_{L_\varphi^\infty(Q)} \leq 1$. Let $b := \|\chi_Q\|_{L_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} f \chi_Q$. Then b is a (φ, ∞, s) -atom and $\text{supp}(b) \subset Q$. From the equality $Lb = \int_Q b(x)g(x) dx$ and $L \in [h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$, we infer that

$$|Lb| = \left| \int_Q b(x)g(x) dx \right| \leq \|L\|_{[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}.$$

Thus, for any $f \in L_\varphi^\infty(Q)$ with $\|f\|_{L_\varphi^\infty(Q)} \leq 1$, we know that

$$\left| \|\chi_Q\|_{L_\varphi(Q)}^{-1} \int_Q f(x)g(x) dx \right| \lesssim \|L\|_{[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}.$$

Take $f := \text{sign}(g)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\varphi(Q)}} \int_Q |g(x)| dx \lesssim \|L\|_{[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}. \quad (7.6)$$

Furthermore, by $h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \supset H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for all $f \in H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that $[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^* \subset [H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$ and $L|_{H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \in [H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$. Since (7.5) holds for all $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, from Lemma 7.4(ii), we deduce that $g \in \text{BMO}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|g\|_{\text{BMO}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|L|_{H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|_{[H^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*} \lesssim \|L\|_{[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}.$$

This, together with (7.6), implies that $g \in \text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|g\|_{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|L\|_{[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}$, which completes the proof of (ii) in Case 1).

Case 2) $\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n, 1) < \infty$. In this case, let

$$\widetilde{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left\{ f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \begin{array}{l} \text{For } i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, b_i \text{ is a multiple of some} \\ (\varphi, \infty, s)\text{-atom, } \text{supp}(b_i) \subset Q_i, \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi(Q_i, \|b_i\|_{L^\infty(Q_i)}) < \infty \end{array} \right\}$$

and for all $f \in \widetilde{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, $\|f\|_{\widetilde{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} := \inf\{\Lambda_\infty(\{b_i\}_{i=1}^\infty)\}$, where the infimum is taken over all the decompositions of f as above. For any $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\widetilde{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}} &:= \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n, |Q| < 1} \frac{1}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\varphi(Q)}} \int_Q |f(x) - P_Q^s f(x)| dx \\ &\quad + \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n, |Q| \geq 1} \frac{1}{\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\varphi(Q)}} \int_Q |f(x)| dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the supremums are taken over all the cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with the indicated properties and $P_Q^s f$ as in (7.1), and

$$\widetilde{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \left\{ f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|f\|_{\widetilde{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Similar to the proofs of [35, Theorem 3.2(i)] and Case 1), we have

$$\left[\widetilde{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right]^* = \widetilde{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (7.7)$$

Assume that $L \in [h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$. Similar to the proofs of [64, (7.20)] and [35, p.28], we know that there exists $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for all $f \in L_\varphi^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$Lf = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx. \quad (7.8)$$

Finally, we prove that $g \in \text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|g\|_{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|L\|_{[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}$. Obviously, (7.8) holds for all $f \in h_{\text{fin}}^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $f \in L_\varphi^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|f\|_{L_\varphi^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 1$, let $b := \|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} f$. Then b is a (φ, ∞) -single-atom. From (7.8) with $f := b$ and $L \in [h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$, we deduce that

$$|Lb| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x)g(x) dx \right| \leq \|L\|_{[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*},$$

which implies that

$$\|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x) dx \right| \leq \|L\|_{[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}.$$

Take $f := \text{sign}(g)$. Then

$$\|\chi_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)| dx \leq \|L\|_{[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}. \quad (7.9)$$

Moreover, by $h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n) \supset \widetilde{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|f\|_{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{\widetilde{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}$ for all $f \in \widetilde{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we know that $[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^* \subset [\widetilde{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}]^*$ and $L|_{\widetilde{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \in [h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*$. Thus, from (7.7) and (7.8), we deduce that $g \in \widetilde{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and

$$\|g\|_{\widetilde{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \lesssim \|L|_{\widetilde{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\|_{[\widetilde{h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)}]^*} \lesssim \|L\|_{[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*},$$

which, together with (7.9), implies that $g \in \text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|g\|_{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|L\|_{[h^{\varphi, \infty, s}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*}$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 7.5. $\square$

From Theorems 5.7 and 7.5, we deduce the following conclusion.

Corollary 7.6. *Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5. Then $[h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)]^* = \text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

7.2 Characterizations of pointwise multipliers for $\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$

We first recall some notions about pointwise multipliers for functions of bounded mean oscillation from [45].

Definition 7.7. Let ϕ be a positive increasing function on $\mathbb{R}_+$. A locally integrable function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to belong to the space $\text{BMO}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{\phi(l(Q))|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - f_Q| dx < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all the cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f_Q := \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f(y) dy$. Moreover, a locally integrable function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to belong to the space $\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)} &:= \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n, |Q| < 1} \frac{1}{\phi(l(Q))|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - f_Q| dx \\ &\quad + \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n, |Q| \geq 1} \frac{1}{\phi(l(Q))|Q|} \int_Q |f(x)| dx < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the supremums are taken over all the cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with the indicated properties.

A function g on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called a *pointwise multiplier* on $\text{BMO}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$), if the pointwise multiplication fg belongs to $\text{BMO}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$) for all $f \in \text{BMO}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $f \in \text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$).

The following Proposition 7.8 is just [45, Theorem 3].

Proposition 7.8. *Let ϕ be a positive increasing function on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and $\phi(r)/r$ almost decreasing. Then a function g on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a pointwise multiplier on $\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $g \in \text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where, for all $r \in (0, \infty)$,*

$$\psi(r) := \phi(r) \left[\int_{\min(1, r)}^2 \phi(t) t^{-1} dt \right]^{-1}.$$

Another main result of this section is as follows.

Theorem 7.9. *Let ϕ and ψ be as in Proposition 7.8.*

(i) *If ϕ is further assumed to satisfy the fact that for all $t \in (0, \infty)$,*

$$\phi(t) \sim \int_0^t \frac{\phi(r)}{r} dr, \quad (7.10)$$

then there exists an Orlicz function Φ_0 , which is of type $(n/(n+1), 1)$, such that the class of pointwise multipliers for $\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the dual of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + h_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) The class of pointwise multipliers for $\text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the dual of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + h_\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $h_\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the local Musielak-Orlicz Hardy space related to the Orlicz function $\theta(t) := \frac{t}{\ln(e+t)}$.

Remark 7.10. (i) A similar result to Theorem 7.9(ii) for $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ was obtained by [35, Theorem 3.3].

(ii) From the assumption that $\phi(r)/r$ is almost decreasing, we infer that ϕ is of upper type 1. Moreover, if ϕ is of lower type $p_0 \in (0, 1]$, then ϕ satisfies (7.10). Conversely, if ϕ satisfies (7.10), then ϕ is of lower type 0; however, if $\phi(r) \equiv 1$ for all $r \in (0, \infty)$, then $\phi(r)/r = 1/r$ for $r \in (0, \infty)$ is decreasing and ϕ is of lower type 0, but ϕ does not satisfy (7.10) and hence the assumptions of Theorem 7.9(i).

(iii) We point out that when $\phi \equiv 1$, $\text{bmo}^\phi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in Definition 7.7 is just $\text{bmo}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ introduced by Goldberg in [20]. Since the function $\phi \equiv 1$ does not satisfy the assumption in Theorem 7.5(i), Theorem 7.9(ii) is not contained in Theorem 7.9(i).

To show Theorem 7.9, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 7.11. Let ϕ and ψ be as in Proposition 7.8. Then

$$\text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with equivalent norms.

Proof. First, we recall that for all $f \in \text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $f \in \text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$),

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

(resp. $\|f\|_{\text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$). By the definitions of $\text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that $\text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for all $f \in \text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, which implies that $\text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for all $f \in \text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Now, we prove that $\text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $f \in \text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\|f\|_{\text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

which implies that $f \in \text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|f\|_{\text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\text{BMO}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This finishes the proof of Lemma 7.11. □

Lemma 7.12. Let ϕ and ψ be as in Proposition 7.8 and, moreover, let ϕ satisfy (7.10). Then there exists an Orlicz function Φ_0 such that Φ_0 is of type $(n/(n+1), 1)$ and, for all $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|\chi_Q\|_{L^{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \psi(l(Q))|Q|.$$

Proof. For all $t \in (0, \infty)$, let $\eta(t) := \psi(t^{-1/n})t^{-1}$. Then by the definition of ψ and the assumption that ϕ is increasing, we conclude that η is strictly decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Then, the inverse of η exists and let $\Phi_0(t) := \eta^{-1}(t^{-1})$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$. Thus, for any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|\chi_Q\|_{L^{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \frac{1}{\Phi_0^{-1}(1/|Q|)} = \eta(1/|Q|) = \psi(l(Q))|Q|.$$

From the fact that η is strictly decreasing and the definition of Φ_0 , it follows that Φ_0 is strictly increasing on $(0, \infty)$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \Phi_0(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_0(t) = \infty$. Now, we prove that Φ_0 is of type $(n/(n+1), 1)$. To this end, by [63, Proposition 3.10], it suffices to show that ρ_0 is of type $(0, 1/n)$, where $\rho_0(t) := t^{-1}/\Phi_0^{-1}(t^{-1})$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$.

First, we prove that ρ_0 is of upper type $1/n$. Let $s \in [1, \infty)$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$. If $ts \geq 1$ and $t \geq 1$, then, from the upper type 1 property of ϕ , we infer that

$$\rho_0(ts) = \phi\left((ts)^{1/n}\right) \left\{ \int_1^2 \phi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right\}^{-1} \lesssim s^{1/n} \rho_0(t). \quad (7.11)$$

If $ts \geq 1$ and $t \in (0, 1]$, then by the upper type 1 property of ϕ and (7.10), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0(ts) &\lesssim s^{1/n} \phi\left(t^{1/n}\right) \left\{ \int_1^2 \phi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right\}^{-1} \\ &\sim \int_{t^{1/n}}^2 \phi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \left\{ \int_1^2 \phi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right\}^{-1} s^{1/n} \left\{ \int_{t^{1/n}}^2 \phi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right\}^{-1} \\ &\lesssim \phi(2) \left\{ \int_1^2 \phi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right\}^{-1} s^{1/n} \left\{ \int_{t^{1/n}}^2 \phi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right\}^{-1} \lesssim s^{1/n} \rho_0(t). \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

If $ts \in (0, 1]$, then $t \in (0, 1]$. In this case, from the upper type 1 property of ϕ and (7.10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0(ts) &\lesssim s^{1/n} \phi\left(t^{1/n}\right) \left\{ \int_{(ts)^{1/n}}^2 \phi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right\}^{-1} \\ &\sim \int_{t^{1/n}}^2 \phi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \left\{ \int_{(ts)^{1/n}}^2 \phi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right\}^{-1} s^{1/n} \left\{ \int_{t^{1/n}}^2 \phi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right\}^{-1} \\ &\lesssim \phi(2) \left\{ \int_1^2 \phi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right\}^{-1} s^{1/n} \left\{ \int_{t^{1/n}}^2 \phi(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right\}^{-1} \lesssim s^{1/n} \rho_0(t). \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

Thus, by (7.11), (7.12) and (7.13), we know that ρ_0 is of upper type $1/n$. Similar to the above argument, by the assumption (7.10), we know that ϕ is of lower type 0 and hence ρ_0 is of lower type 0, which completes the proof of Lemma 7.12. $\square$

Lemma 7.13. Let $\phi \equiv 1$, $\psi(t) := [\int_{\min(1,t)}^2 1/r dr]^{-1}$ and $\theta(t) := t[\ln(e+t)]^{-1}$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$. Then for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$[\psi(l(Q))]^{-1} \sim \ln(e + |Q|^{-1}) \quad (7.14)$$

and

$$\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \frac{|Q|}{\ln(e + |Q|^{-1})}, \quad (7.15)$$

where the implicit constants are independent of Q .

Proof. We first prove (7.14). Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube. If $l(Q) \geq 1$, then

$$\ln(e + |Q|^{-1}) \leq \ln(2e) \sim \ln 2 \sim [\psi(l(Q))]^{-1}.$$

Moreover, $\ln(e + |Q|^{-1}) \geq 1 \sim [\psi(l(Q))]^{-1}$. Thus, $\ln(e + |Q|^{-1}) \sim [\psi(l(Q))]^{-1}$ if $l(Q) \geq 1$.

If $l(Q) \in (0, 1]$, then

$$\ln(e + |Q|^{-1}) \leq n \ln(e + [l(Q)]^{-1}) \leq n \ln\left(\frac{e+1}{l(Q)}\right) \lesssim \ln 2 - \ln l(Q) \sim [\psi(l(Q))]^{-1}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\ln(e + |Q|^{-1}) \geq \ln\left(2e^{1/2}[l(Q)]^{-n/2}\right) \gtrsim \ln 2 - (n/2) \ln l(Q) \sim [\psi(l(Q))]^{-1}.$$

Thus, $\ln(e + |Q|^{-1}) \sim [\psi(l(Q))]^{-1}$ also holds if $l(Q) \in (0, 1]$. By the above estimates, we see that $\ln(e + |Q|^{-1}) \sim [\psi(l(Q))]^{-1}$ for any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, which prove (7.14).

Now, we prove (7.15). For any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, let $A_Q := \frac{|Q|}{\ln(e+|Q|^{-1})}$. Then

$$\int_Q \theta(x, 1/A_Q) dx = \frac{\ln(e+|Q|^{-1})}{\ln(e+|Q|^{-1} \ln(e+|Q|^{-1}))}. \quad (7.16)$$

Obviously, $\ln(e+|Q|^{-1} \ln(e+|Q|^{-1})) \geq \ln(e+|Q|^{-1})$ for all $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, which implies that for all $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\frac{\ln(e+|Q|^{-1})}{\ln(e+|Q|^{-1} \ln(e+|Q|^{-1}))} \leq 1.$$

By this, (7.16) and the definition of $\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we conclude that for all $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim A_Q. \quad (7.17)$$

Now, we prove $\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \gtrsim A_Q$. If $l(Q) \in [1, \infty)$, then,

$$\ln(e+|Q|^{-1} \ln(e+|Q|^{-1})) \leq \ln(e+2|Q|^{-1}) \lesssim \ln(e+|Q|^{-1}),$$

which implies that $\frac{\ln(e+|Q|^{-1})}{\ln(e+|Q|^{-1} \ln(e+|Q|^{-1}))} \gtrsim 1$. From this and (7.16), we infer that

$$\int_Q \theta(x, 1/A_Q) dx \gtrsim 1. \quad (7.18)$$

If $l(Q) \in (0, 1]$, by $\ln(e+|Q|^{-1}) > 1$, we know that $e + \frac{\ln(e+|Q|^{-1})}{|Q|} \leq (e+|Q|^{-1}) \ln(e+|Q|^{-1})$, which implies that

$$\ln(e+|Q|^{-1} \ln(e+|Q|^{-1})) \leq \ln(e+|Q|^{-1}) + \ln(\ln(e+|Q|^{-1})).$$

By this and the fact that $\ln x < x$ for all $x \in (1, \infty)$, we conclude that $\ln(e+|Q|^{-1} \ln(e+|Q|^{-1})) < 2 \ln(e+|Q|^{-1})$, which, together with (7.16), implies that $\int_Q \theta(x, \frac{1}{A_Q}) dx > \frac{1}{2}$. From this and (7.18), we infer that for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \gtrsim A_Q$, which, together with (7.17), implies that (7.15) holds. This finishes the proof of Lemma 7.13. $\square$

Now, we show Theorem 7.9 by using Lemmas 7.12 and 7.13.

Proof of Theorem 7.9. We first prove (i). Let Φ_0 be as in Lemma 7.12. Then Φ_0 is an Orlicz function of type $(n/(n+1), 1)$ and for all cubes Q , $\|\chi_Q\|_{L^{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \psi(l(Q))|Q|$, which implies that

$$\text{bmo}_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (7.19)$$

with equivalent norms. Furthermore, by a standard argument, we know that

$$[L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + h_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^* = [L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)]^* \cap [h_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^*,$$

which, together with Corollary 7.6, (7.19) and the well-known fact that $[L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)]^* = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, implies that $[L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + h_{\Phi_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)]^* = L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From this and Proposition 7.8, we deduce (i).

Now, we prove (ii). By (7.14) and (7.15), we conclude that for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|\chi_Q\|_{L^\theta(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \psi(l(Q))|Q|$, which implies that $\text{bmo}_\theta(\mathbb{R}^n) = \text{bmo}^\psi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The remainder of the proof is similar to that of (i). We omit the details here. This finishes the proof of (ii) and hence Theorem 7.9. $\square$

8 Boundedness of local Riesz transforms and $S_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ pseudo-differential operators on $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In Subsection 8.1, we show that local Riesz transforms are bounded on $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_q^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $q \in [1, \infty)$. Subsection 8.2 is devoted to the boundedness of $S_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ pseudo-differential operators on $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_p(\phi_\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, which is contained in $\mathbb{A}_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Definition 8.6 below), with $p \in [1, \infty)$ and ϕ_α as in (8.7) below.

8.1 Local Riesz transforms

Now, we recall the notion of local Riesz transforms introduced by Goldberg [20]. In what follows, $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of all Schwartz functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 8.1. Let $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\phi_0 \equiv 1$ on $Q(0, 1)$ and $\text{supp}(\phi_0) \subset Q(0, 2)$. For $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$k_j(x) := \frac{x_j}{|x|^{n+1}} \phi_0(x).$$

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the local Riesz transform $r_j(f)$ of f is defined by $r_j(f) := k_j * f$.

We remark that ϕ_0 in [20] was assumed that $\phi_0 \equiv 1$ in a neighborhood of the origin and $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In this paper, for the sake of convenience, we assume $\phi_0 \equiv 1$ on $Q(0, 1)$ and $\text{supp}(\phi_0) \subset Q(0, 2)$. We have the boundedness on $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of local Riesz transforms $\{r_j\}_{j=1}^n$ as follows.

Theorem 8.2. Let φ be a growth function as in Definition 2.5. For $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let r_j be the local Riesz operator as in Definition 8.1. Then there exists a positive constant $C(\varphi, n)$, depending only on φ and n , such that for all $f \in h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|r_j(f)\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\varphi, n) \|f\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

To prove Theorem 8.2, we need the following lemma established in [58, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 8.3. For $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let r_j be the local Riesz operator as in Definition 8.1. For $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_p^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exists a positive constant $C(p, \varphi, n)$, depending only on p, φ and n , such that for all $f \in L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|r_j(f)\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(p, \varphi, n) \|f\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now, we prove Theorem 8.2 by using Lemma 8.3.

Proof of Theorem 8.2. Let $s := \lfloor n[q(\varphi)/i(\varphi) - 1] \rfloor$, where $q(\varphi)$ and $i(\varphi)$ are respectively as in (2.9) and (2.3). Then $(n + s + 1)i(\varphi) > nq(\varphi)$, which implies that there exists $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$ and $p_0 \in (0, i(\varphi))$ such that $(n + s + 1)p_0 > nq$, φ is of uniformly lower type p_0 and $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_q^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We first assume that $f \in h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To show Theorem 8.2, it suffices to show that for any multiple of some (φ, q) -single-atom b ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))(x)) \, dx \lesssim \varphi\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right), \quad (8.1)$$

and for any multiple of (φ, q, s) -atom b supported in $Q := Q(x_0, R_0)$ with $R_0 \in (0, 2]$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))(x)) \, dx \lesssim \varphi\left(Q, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}\right). \quad (8.2)$$

Indeed, if (8.1) and (8.2) hold, by Lemma 5.5, Remark 5.6 and the claim, proved in the proof of Theorem 6.3(i), that (6.3) holds in $L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that there exist a sequence $\{b_i\}_i$ of the multiple of (φ, q, s) -atoms, respectively supported in $\{Q_j\}_j$ with $l(Q_j) \in (0, 2]$, and a multiple of some (φ, q) -single-atom b_0 such that $f = b_0 + \sum_i b_i$ in $L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \Lambda_q(\{b_i\}_i)$, which, together with Lemma 8.3, implies that $r_j(f) = r_j(b_0) + \sum_i r_j(b_i)$ in $L_{\varphi(\cdot, 1)}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. From this, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(f)) \leq \mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b_0)) + \sum_i \mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b_i)),$$

which, together with (8.1) and (8.2), implies that for all $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(f))(x)}{\lambda}\right) \, dx \lesssim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b_i))(x)}{\lambda}\right) \, dx$$

$$\lesssim \varphi\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \frac{\|b_0\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\lambda}\right) + \sum_i \varphi\left(Q_i, \frac{\|b_i\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\lambda}\right).$$

By this, we conclude that $\|r_j(f)\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Since $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^q_{\varphi(\cdot,1)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, a density argument then gives the desired conclusion.

We first prove (8.1). In this case, from the uniformly upper type 1 property of φ , Hölder's inequality, Lemma 2.9(iii), the fact that $\mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b)) \lesssim M^{\text{loc}}(r_j(b))$ and Lemma 8.3, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))(x)) \, dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))(x)}{\|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\right) \varphi(x, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \, dx \\ & \leq \varphi\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) + \frac{1}{\|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))(x)|^q \varphi(x, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \, dx \right\}^{1/q} \\ & \quad \times \left[\varphi\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) \right]^{(q-1)/q} \lesssim \varphi\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (8.3)$$

which implies (8.1).

Now, we prove (8.2) for b by considering the following two cases for R_0 .

Case 1) $R_0 \in [1, 2]$. In this case, by the definitions of $r_j(b)$ and $\mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))$, we see that

$$\text{supp}(\mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))) \subset Q^* := Q(x_0, R_0 + 8).$$

From this, the uniformly upper type 1 property of φ , Hölder's inequality, (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2.9, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))(x)) \, dx \\ & = \int_{Q^*} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))(x)) \, dx \\ & \leq \int_{Q^*} \left(1 + \frac{\mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))(x)}{\|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(Q)}}\right) \varphi(x, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(Q)}) \, dx \\ & \leq \varphi(Q^*, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(Q)}) + \frac{1}{\|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(Q)}} \left\{ \int_{Q^*} |\mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))(x)|^q \varphi(x, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(Q)}) \, dx \right\}^{1/q} \\ & \quad \times \left[\varphi(Q^*, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(Q)}) \right]^{(q-1)/q} \lesssim \varphi(Q^*, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(Q)}) \lesssim \varphi(Q, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(Q)}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (8.2) in Case 1).

Case 2) $R_0 \in (0, 1)$. In this case, let $\tilde{Q} := 8nQ$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))(x)) \, dx = \int_{\tilde{Q}} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))(x)) \, dx + \int_{(\tilde{Q})^c} \cdots =: I_1 + I_2. \quad (8.4)$$

For I_1 , similar to the proof of Case 1), we know that

$$I_1 \leq \varphi(Q, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(Q)}). \quad (8.5)$$

Now, we estimate I_2 . Similar to the proof of [64, (8.10)], we see that for all $x \in (\tilde{Q})^c$,

$$\mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))(x) \lesssim \frac{R_0^{n+s+1-\delta}}{|x-x_0|^{n+s+1-\delta}} \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(Q)}, \quad (8.6)$$

where δ is a positive constant small enough such that $p_0(n + s + 1 - \delta) > nq$. By the fact that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))) \subset Q(x_0, R_0 + 8) \subset Q(x_0, 9)$ and Lemma 2.9(i), we conclude that there exists a $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathbb{A}_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}(\cdot, t) = \varphi(\cdot, t)$ on $Q(x_0, 9)$ for all $t \in (0, \infty)$. Let m_0 be the integer such that $2^{m_0-1}nR_0 \leq 9 < 2^{m_0}nR_0$. From (8.6), the uniformly lower type p_0 property of φ , Lemma 2.9(iii) and $p_0(n + s + 1 - \delta) > nq$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_2 &\lesssim \int_{Q(x_0, 9) \setminus \tilde{Q}} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(r_j(b))(x)) dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=3}^{m_0} \int_{2^{j+1}nQ \setminus 2^jnQ} \tilde{\varphi}\left(x, \frac{R_0^{n+s+1-\delta}}{|x-x_0|^{n+s+1-\delta}} \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}\right) dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=3}^{m_0} \int_{2^{j+1}nQ \setminus 2^jnQ} \left(\frac{R_0^{n+s+1-\delta}}{|x-x_0|^{n+s+1-\delta}}\right)^{p_0} \tilde{\varphi}\left(x, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}\right) dx \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=3}^{m_0} 2^{k[(n+s+1-\delta)p_0-nq]} \varphi\left(Q, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}\right) \lesssim \varphi\left(Q, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q)}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (8.4) and (8.5), implies (8.2) in Case 2). This finishes the proof of Theorem 8.2. $\square$

Remark 8.4. We remark that Theorem 8.2 completely covers [64, Theorem 8.2] by taking φ as in (1.1), where Φ is further assumed to satisfy the fact that $p_\Phi = p_\Phi^+$ and p_Φ^+ is the attainable critical upper type index of Φ .

8.2 $S_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ pseudo-differential operators

The pseudo-differential operators have been extensively studied in the literature, and they are important in the study of partial differential equations and harmonic analysis (see, for example, [53, 54, 59, 60]). Now, we recall the notion of pseudo-differential operators.

Definition 8.5. A symbol in $S_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a smooth function $\sigma(x, \xi)$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that for all multi-indices α and β , the following estimate holds:

$$\left| \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sigma(x, \xi) \right| \leq C(\alpha, \beta)(1 + |\xi|)^{-|\beta|},$$

where $C(\alpha, \beta)$ is a positive constant independent of x and ξ . Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\hat{f}$ be its Fourier transform. The operator T given by setting, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$Tf(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(x, \xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi$$

is called an $S_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ pseudo-differential operator.

In the remainder of this section, for any given $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and all $t \in (0, \infty)$, let

$$\phi_\alpha(t) := (1 + t)^\alpha. \quad (8.7)$$

Recall that a weight always means a locally integrable function which is positive almost everywhere.

Definition 8.6. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a uniformly locally integrable function and $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. The function $\varphi(\cdot, t)$ is said to satisfy the uniformly local weight condition $\mathbb{A}_q(\phi_\alpha)$ with $q \in [1, \infty)$ if there exists a positive constant $C(\alpha)$, depending on α , such that for all cubes $Q := Q(x, r)$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\left[\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha(|Q|)|Q|} \int_Q \varphi(x, t) dx \right] \left(\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha(|Q|)|Q|} \int_Q [\varphi(x, t)]^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} dx \right)^{p-1} \leq C(\alpha)$$

when $q \in (1, \infty)$, and

$$\frac{1}{\phi_\alpha(|Q|)|Q|} \int_Q \varphi(x, t) dx \left(\operatorname{esssup}_{y \in Q} [\varphi(y, t)]^{-1} \right) \leq C(\alpha)$$

when $q = 1$.

We point out that $\mathbb{A}_q(\phi_\alpha)$ with $q \in [1, \infty)$ when φ is as in (1.1) was introduced by Tang [58].

Similar to the classical Muckenhoupt weights, we have the following properties of $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_\infty(\phi_\alpha) := \cup_{1 \leq p < \infty} \mathbb{A}_p(\phi_\alpha)$, whose proofs are similar to those of [58, Lemmas 7.3 and 7.4]. We omit the details.

Lemma 8.7. *Let $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$.*

- (i) *If $1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \infty$, then $\mathbb{A}_{p_1}(\phi_\alpha) \subset \mathbb{A}_{p_2}(\phi_\alpha)$.*
- (ii) *For $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_p(\phi_\alpha)$ if and only if $\varphi^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in \mathbb{A}_{p'}(\phi_\alpha)$, where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.*
- (iii) *If $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_p(\phi_\alpha)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$, then there exists a positive constant C such that for all $t \in (0, \infty)$, cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and measurable sets $E \subset Q$,*

$$\frac{|E|}{\phi_\alpha(|Q|)|Q|} \leq C \left[\frac{\varphi(E, t)}{\varphi(Q, t)} \right]^{1/p}.$$

Lemma 8.8. *Let T be an $S_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ pseudo-differential operator and $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Then for $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_p(\phi_\alpha)$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exists a positive constant $C(p, \varphi, \alpha)$, depending on p, φ and α , such that for all $f \in L_{\varphi(\cdot,1)}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|Tf\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot,1)}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(p, \varphi, \alpha) \|f\|_{L_{\varphi(\cdot,1)}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now, we establish the boundedness on $h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $S_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ pseudo-differential operators as follows.

Theorem 8.9. *Let T be an $S_{1,0}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ pseudo-differential operator, φ a growth function as in Definition 2.5 and $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_\infty(\phi_\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Then, there exists a positive constant $C(\varphi, n, \alpha)$, depending only on φ, n and α , such that for all $f \in h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|Tf\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\varphi, n, \alpha) \|f\|_{h_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Let $q \in (q(\varphi), \infty)$, $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and the nonnegative integer s satisfy $(n + s + 1)i(\varphi) > nq(1 + \alpha)$, where $i(\varphi)$ is as in (2.3). Then, by (2.3), we further see that there exists $p_0 \in (0, i(\varphi))$ such that $(n + s + 1)p_0 > nq(1 + \alpha)$. To show Theorem 8.9, similar to the proof of Theorem 8.2, replacing Lemma 8.3 by Lemma 8.8 in the proof of Theorem 8.2, we see that it suffices to show that for any multiple of some (φ, q) -single-atom b ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(T(b))(x)) dx \lesssim \varphi\left(\mathbb{R}^n, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right), \quad (8.8)$$

and for any multiple of (φ, q, s) -atom b supported in $Q_0 := Q(x_0, R_0)$ with $R_0 \in (0, 2]$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(T(b))(x)) dx \lesssim \varphi\left(Q_0, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q_0)}\right). \quad (8.9)$$

The proof of (8.8) is similar to that of (8.3). We omit the details.

Now, we prove (8.9). Let $\tilde{Q}_0 := 2Q_0$. Similar to the proof of (8.5), we see that

$$\int_{\tilde{Q}_0} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(T(b))(x)) dx \lesssim \varphi\left(Q_0, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(Q_0)}\right). \quad (8.10)$$

To estimate $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \tilde{Q}_0} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(T(b))(x)) dx$, we consider the following two cases for R_0 .

Case 1) $R_0 \in (0, 1)$. In this case, similar to the proof of [64, p. 74], we see that for all $x \in \tilde{Q}_0^c$,

$$\mathcal{G}_N^0(T(b))(x) \lesssim |x - x_0|^{-(n+s+1)} |Q_0|^{\frac{s+1}{n}} \|b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This, combined with Hölder's inequality, Lemma 8.7(iii) and the definition of $\mathbb{A}_p(\phi_\alpha)$, implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \tilde{Q}_0} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(T(b))(x)) \, dx \\
 & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \tilde{Q}_0} \varphi\left(x, |x - x_0|^{-(n+s+1)} |Q_0|^{\frac{s+1}{n}} \|b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) \, dx \\
 & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \tilde{Q}_0} \varphi\left(x, |Q_0|^{\frac{s+1}{n}} |x - x_0|^{-(n+s+1)} \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \phi_\alpha(|Q_0|) |Q_0|\right) \, dx \\
 & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^k Q_0} \varphi\left(x, |Q_0|^{\frac{s+1}{n}} (2^k R_0)^{-(n+s+1)} \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \phi_\alpha(|Q_0|) |Q_0|\right) \, dx \\
 & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} \int_{2^k Q_0} \varphi\left(x, |Q_0|^{\frac{s+1}{n}} (2^k R_0)^{-(n+s+1)} \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \phi_\alpha(|Q_0|) |Q_0|\right) \, dx \\
 & \quad + \sum_{k=m_0+1}^{\infty} \int_{2^k Q_0} \cdots =: I_1 + I_2, \tag{8.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the integer m_0 satisfies $2^{m_0-1} \leq \frac{1}{R_0} < 2^{m_0}$.

To estimate I_1 , for any $k \in \{1, \dots, m_0\}$, by the choice of m_0 and $R_0 \in (0, 1)$, we know that $2^k R_0^n \leq 1$, which, together with the uniformly lower type p_0 property of φ , Lemma 8.7(iii) and the fact that $(n+s+1)p_0 > nq(1+\alpha)$, implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} \varphi\left(2^k Q_0, |Q_0|^{\frac{s+n+1}{n}} (2^k R_0)^{-(n+s+1)} \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) \\
 & \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{m_0} 2^{-k(n+s+1)p_0} 2^{knq} \varphi\left(Q_0, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) \lesssim \varphi\left(Q_0, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right). \tag{8.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

For I_2 , similar to the estimate of I_1 , we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 & \lesssim \sum_{k=m_0+1}^{\infty} \varphi\left(2^k Q_0, |Q_0|^{\frac{s+n+1}{n}} (2^k R_0)^{-(n+s+1)} \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) \\
 & \lesssim \sum_{k=m_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n+s+1)p_0} \varphi\left(2^k Q_0, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) \\
 & \lesssim \sum_{k=m_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(n+s+1)p_0} 2^{knq} [\phi_\alpha(|2^k Q_0|)]^q \varphi\left(Q_0, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) \\
 & \lesssim \sum_{k=m_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k[(n+s+1)p_0 - nq(\alpha+1)]} \varphi\left(Q_0, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right) \lesssim \varphi\left(Q_0, \|b\|_{L_\varphi^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (8.10), (8.11) and (8.12), implies (8.9) in Case 1).

Case 2) $R_0 \in [1, 2]$. In this case, similar to the proof of [64, (8.44)], we know that for all $x \in \tilde{Q}_0^c$,

$$\mathcal{G}_N^0(T(b))(x) \lesssim |x - x_0|^{-M} \|b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{8.13}$$

Take $M > \frac{nq(1+\alpha)}{p_0}$. By (8.13), Hölder's inequality and Lemma 8.7(iii), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \tilde{Q}_0} \varphi(x, \mathcal{G}_N^0(T(b))(x)) \, dx \\
 & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \tilde{Q}_0} \varphi(x, |x - x_0|^{-M} \|b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \, dx
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^k Q_0} \varphi \left(x, (2^k R_0)^{-M} \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \phi_\alpha(|Q_0|)|Q_0| \right) dx \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-kMp_0} R_0^{-Mp_0} \varphi(2^k Q_0, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k(Mp_0-nq)} \phi_\alpha(|2^k Q_0|) R_0^{-Mp_0} \varphi(Q_0, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k[Mp_0-nq(1+\alpha)]} R_0^{-(Mp_0-nq\alpha)} \varphi \left(Q_0, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \lesssim \varphi \left(Q_0, \|b\|_{L^q_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (8.10), implies (8.9) in Case 2). This finishes the proof of Theorem 8.9. $\square$

By Theorems 8.9 and 7.5, [54, p.233, (4)] and the proposition in [54, p.259], we have the following result.

Corollary 8.10. *Let T be an $S^0_{1,0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ pseudo-differential operator and φ a growth function satisfying $\varphi \in \mathbb{A}_\infty(\phi_\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant $C(\varphi, \alpha)$, depending on φ and α , such that for all $f \in \text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|Tf\|_{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\varphi, \alpha) \|f\|_{\text{bmo}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Remark 8.11. Let $\omega \in A_\infty(\phi_\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ and Φ be an Orlicz function satisfying the fact that $p_\Phi = p_\Phi^+$ and p_Φ^+ is the attainable critical upper type index of Φ . Then, Theorem 8.9 and Corollary 8.10 cover, respectively, [64, Theorem 8.18] and [64, Corollary 8.20] by taking $\varphi(x, t) := \omega(x)\Phi(t)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 11171027) of China and Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University of China. The authors would like to thank the referees for their careful reading and many valuable remarks which made this article more readable.

References

- 1 Aoki T. Locally bounded linear topological space. Proc Imp Acad Tokyo, 1942, 18: 588-594
- 2 Astala K, Iwaniec T, Koskela P, et al. Mappings of BMO-bounded distortion. Math Ann, 2000, 317: 703-726
- 3 Birnbaum Z, Orlicz W. Über die verallgemeinerung des begriffes der zueinander konjugierten potenzen. Studia Math, 1931, 3: 1-67
- 4 Bonami A, Feuto J, Grellier S. Endpoint for the DIV-CURL lemma in Hardy spaces. Publ Mat, 2010, 54: 341-358
- 5 Bonami A, Grellier S. Hankel operators and weak factorization for Hardy-Orlicz spaces. Colloq Math, 2010, 118: 107-132
- 6 Bonami A, Grellier S, Ky L D. Paraproducts and products of functions in $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ through wavelets. J Math Pures Appl (9), 2011, doi:10.1016/j.matpur.2011.06.002
- 7 Bonami A, Iwaniec T, Jones P, et al. On the product of functions in BMO and H^1 . Ann Inst Fourier (Grenoble), 2007, 57: 1405-1439
- 8 Bownik M. Anisotropic Hardy spaces and wavelets. Mem Amer Math Soc, 2003, 781(164): 1-122
- 9 Bownik M. Boundedness of operators on Hardy spaces via atomic decompositions. Proc Amer Math Soc, 2005, 133: 3535-3542
- 10 Bownik M, Li B, Yang D, et al. Weighted anisotropic Hardy spaces and their applications in boundedness of sublinear operators. Indiana Univ Math J, 2008, 57: 3065-3100
- 11 Bui H. Weighted Hardy spaces. Math Nachr, 1981, 103: 45-62
- 12 Coifman R R, Weiss G. Analyse Harmonique Non-commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogènes. Lecture Notes in Math 242. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1971
- 13 Deng D, Duong X T, Yan L. A characterization of the Morrey-Campanato spaces. Math Z, 2005, 250: 641-655

- 14 Diening L. Maximal function on Musielak-Orlicz spaces and generalized Lebesgue spaces. *Bull Sci Math* 2005, 129: 657-700
- 15 Diening L, Hästö P, Roudenko S. Function spaces of variable smoothness and integrability. *J Funct Anal*, 2009, 256: 1731-1768
- 16 Duong X T, Xiao J, Yan L. Old and new Morrey spaces with heat kernel bounds. *J Fourier Anal Appl*, 2007, 13: 87-111
- 17 Fefferman C, Stein E M. H^p spaces of several variables. *Acta Math*, 1972, 129: 137-195
- 18 García-Cuerva J. Weighted H^p spaces. *Dissertationes Math (Rozprawy Mat)*, 1979, 162: 1-63
- 19 García-Cuerva J, Rubio de Francia J. *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1985
- 20 Goldberg D. A local version of real Hardy spaces. *Duke Math J*, 1979, 46: 27-42
- 21 Grafakos L. *Classical Fourier Analysis*. New York: Springer Press, 2008
- 22 Grafakos L, Liu L, Yang D. Boundedness of paraproduct operators on RD-spaces. *Sci China Math*, 2010, 53: 2097-2114
- 23 Grafakos L, Liu L, Yang D. Maximal function characterizations of Hardy spaces on RD-spaces and their applications. *Sci China Ser A*, 2008, 51: 2253-2284
- 24 Haroske D D, Skrzypczak L. Entropy and approximation numbers of embeddings of function spaces with Muckenhoupt weights, I. *Rev Mat Complut*, 2008, 21: 135-177
- 25 Haroske D D, Skrzypczak L. Entropy and approximation numbers of embeddings of function spaces with Muckenhoupt weights, II. General weights. *Ann Acad Sci Fenn Math*, 2011, 36: 111-138
- 26 Haroske D D, Skrzypczak L. Entropy numbers of embeddings of function spaces with Muckenhoupt weights, III. Some limiting cases. *J Funct Spaces Appl*, 2011, 9: 129-178
- 27 Haroske D D, Skrzypczak L. Spectral theory of some degenerate elliptic operators with local singularities. *J Math Anal Appl*, 2010, 371: 282-299
- 28 Iwaniec T, Onninen J. $\mathcal{H}^1$ -estimates of Jacobians by subdeterminants. *Math Ann*, 2002, 324: 341-358
- 29 Janson S. Generalizations of Lipschitz spaces and an application to Hardy spaces and bounded mean oscillation. *Duke Math J*, 1980, 47: 959-982
- 30 Jiang R, Yang D. New Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with divergence form elliptic operators. *J Funct Anal*, 2010, 258: 1167-1224
- 31 Jiang R, Yang D, Zhou Y. Localized Hardy spaces associated with operators. *Appl Anal*, 2009, 88: 1409-1427
- 32 Jiang R, Yang D, Zhou Y. Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with operators. *Sci China Ser A*, 2009, 52: 1042-1080
- 33 John F, Nirenberg L. On functions of bounded mean oscillation. *Comm Pure Appl Math*, 1961, 14: 415-426
- 34 Johnson R, Neugebauer C J. Homeomorphisms preserving A_p . *Rev Mat Iberoamericana*, 1987, 3: 249-273
- 35 Ky L D. New Hardy spaces of Musielak-Orlicz type and boundedness of sublinear operators. *arXiv*: 1103.3757
- 36 Lerner A K. Some remarks on the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on variable L^p spaces. *Math Z*, 2005, 251: 509-521
- 37 Li B, Bownik M, Yang D, et al. Anisotropic singular integrals in product spaces. *Sci China Math*, 2010, 53: 3163-3178
- 38 Liang Y, Yang D, Yang S. Applications of Orlicz-Hardy spaces associated with operators satisfying Poisson estimates. *Sci China Math*, 2011, 54: 2395-2426
- 39 Martínez S, Wolanski N. A minimum problem with free boundary in Orlicz spaces. *Adv Math*, 2008, 218: 1914-1971
- 40 Meda S, Sjögren P, Vallarino M. On the H^1 - L^1 boundedness of operators. *Proc Amer Math Soc*, 2008, 136: 2921-2931
- 41 Meda S, Sjögren P, Vallarino M. Atomic decompositions and operators on Hardy spaces. *Rev Un Mat Argentina*, 2009, 50: 15-22
- 42 Morrey C B. Partial regularity results for non-linear elliptic systems. *J Math Mech*, 1967/1968, 17: 649-670
- 43 Musielak J. *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*. Lecture Notes in Math 1034. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1983
- 44 Nakai E. The Campanato, Morrey and Hölder spaces on spaces of homogeneous type. *Studia Math*, 2006, 176: 1-19
- 45 Nakai E, Yabuta K. Pointwise multipliers for functions of bounded mean oscillation. *J Math Soc Japan*, 1985, 37: 207-218
- 46 Orlicz W. Über eine gewisse Klasse von Räumen vom Typus B. *Bull Int Acad Pol Ser A*, 1932, 8: 207-220
- 47 Rao M M, Ren Z D. *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1991
- 48 Rao M M, Ren Z D. *Applications of Orlicz Spaces*. New York: Marcel Dekker, 2002
- 49 Ricci F, Verdera J. Duality in spaces of finite linear combinations of atoms. *Trans Amer Math Soc*, 2011, 363: 1311-1323
- 50 Rolewicz S. On a certain class of linear metric spaces, *Bull Acad Polon Sci Cl III*, 1957, 5: 471-473
- 51 Rychkov V S. Littlewood-Paley theory and function spaces with A_p^{loc} weights. *Math Nachr*, 2001, 224: 145-180
- 52 Schott T. Function spaces with exponential weights I. *Math Nachr*, 1998, 189: 221-242
- 53 Schott T. Pseudodifferential operators in function spaces with exponential weights. *Math Nachr*, 1999, 200: 119-149
- 54 Stein E M, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory integrals*. Princeton, NJ:

- Princeton University Press, 1993
- 55 Strömberg J O. Bounded mean oscillation with Orlicz norms and duality of Hardy spaces. *Indiana Univ Math J*, 1979, 28: 511-544
- 56 Strömberg J O, Torchinsky A. *Weighted Hardy Spaces*. Lecture Notes in Math 1381. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1989
- 57 Taibleson M H, Weiss G. The molecular characterization of certain Hardy spaces. In: *Astérisque* 77. Representation theorems for Hardy spaces. Paris: Soc Math France, 1980, 67-149
- 58 Tang L. Weighted local Hardy spaces and their applications. *Illinois J Math*, in press (or arXiv: 1004.5294)
- 59 Tang L. Weighted norm inequalities for pseudo-differential operators with smooth symbols and their commutators. *J Funct Anal*, 2012, 262: 1603-1629
- 60 Taylor M E. *Pseudodifferential Operators and Nonlinear PDE*. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1991
- 61 Triebel H. *Theory of Function Spaces*. Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag, 1983
- 62 Triebel H. *Theory of Function Spaces II*. Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag, 1992
- 63 Viviani B E. An atomic decomposition of the predual of $BMO(\rho)$. *Rev Mat Iberoamericana*, 1987, 3: 401-425
- 64 Yang D, Yang S. Weighted local Orlicz-Hardy spaces with applications to pseudo-differential operators. *Dissertationes Math (Rozprawy Mat)*, 2011, 478: 1-78
- 65 Yang D, Zhou Y. Boundedness of sublinear operators in Hardy spaces on RD-spaces via atoms. *J Math Anal Appl*, 2008, 339: 622-635
- 66 Yang D, Zhou Y. A boundedness criterion via atoms for linear operators in Hardy spaces. *Constr Approx*, 2009, 29: 207-218